Manfred SCHRENK, Vasily V. POPOVICH, Peter ZEILE, Pietro ELISEI (Eds.)

Times

PROCEEDINGS TAGUNGSBAND



For if there are times past and future, I desire to know where they are. (Augustine, Confessions XI.18)









CEIT ALANOVA Institute of Urbanism, Transport, Environment and Information Society









18th International Conference on Urban Planning, Regional Development and Information Society

REAL CORP 2013. Planning Times You better keep planning or you get in deep water, for the cities they are a-changin'...

Proceedings of

18th International Conference on Urban Planning, Regional Development and Information Society

Beiträge zur

18. internationalen Konferenz zu Stadtplanung, Regionalentwicklung und Informationsgesellschaft

Edited by

Manfred SCHRENK, Vasily V. POPOVICH, Peter ZEILE, Pietro ELISEI

Schwechat, 2013

CD-ROM-Edition ISBN: 978-3-9503110-4-4 **Print-Edition** ISBN: 978-3-9503110-5-1

Im Selbstverlag des Vereins

CORP - Competence Center of Urban and Regional Planning

Kompetenzzentrum für Stadtplanung und Regionalentwicklung Lerchergasse 4, A-2320 Schwechat-Rannersdorf office@corp.at, http://www.corp.at

REAL CORP 2013

TEAM

Manfred SCHRENK
Clemens BEYER
Christian EIZINGER
Burcu AKINCI
Linda DÖRRZAPF
Patrick KREJCI
Adela MARCOCI
Michael MÜLLNER
Julia NEUSCHMID
Daniela PATTI
Flora STROHMEIER
Wolfgang W. WASSERBURGER

All rights reserved. – Alle Rechte vorbehalten.

Editors – Herausgeber:

DI Manfred SCHRENK, CEIT ALANOVA - Central European Institute of Technology, Schwechat, Austria

Prof. Dr. Vasily V. POPOVICH, SPIIRAS, St. Petersburg, Russia

Dr.-Ing. Peter ZEILE, TU Kaiserslautern, Kaiserslautern, Germany

Dr.-Ing. Pietro ELISEI, URBASOFIA, Bucharest, Romania

Publisher – Medieninhaber und Verleger:

CORP - Competence Center of Urban and Regional Planning

Kompetenzzentrum für Stadtplanung und Regionalentwicklung

Lechergasse 4, A-2320 Schwechat-Rannersdorf

office@corp.at, http://www.corp.at

CD-ROM Edition: ISBN 978-3-9503110-4-4 **Print Edition:** ISBN 978-3-9503110-5-1

Contributions by the authors reflect their own findings, views and opinions which may not necessarily be consistent with the views and opinions of the editors.

Die Arbeiten geben die Erkenntnisse und Ansichten des jeweiligen Autors wieder und müssen nicht mit den Ansichten der Herausgeber übereinstimmen.

Table of Contents – Inhaltsverzeichnis:

PREFACE	
Manfred Schrenk	
A new Approach in the Visualization of Georeferenced Sensor Data in Spatial Planning	
A Study on Thermal Comfortable following the Thermal Environment Migration in Detached Housing Area in Korea Jiwon Ryu, Eung-Ho Jung, Dae-Wuk Kim, Akira Hoyano	25
Alpine Stadt-Landschaften. Beobachtungen zur Rolle von Freiräumen im Tiroler Inntal aus Sicht des Landscape Urbanism	37
Wolfgang Andexlinger	37
Alte Terre – Aree agricole di versante, nuovi modelli di sviluppo	
An Environmental Resilience based on Approaching Planners Triangle for Integrated Catchment Management	
An Experimental Study of Article-Finding Behaviors in a Shopping-Around Situation	
Application of Modeling Urban Growth with Cellular Automata in Spatial Planning	
Approach to Spatial Data Infrastructure Development for Spatial Planning in Serbia	85
Assessing Impacts of Passive Defense Policies Interventions on Spatial Logic of Tehran Metropolitan Area (TMA) Sahar Nedae Tousi, Ehsan Ghorbani Ghashghae Nejhad	95
Augmented Reality Apps for Real Estate Veronika Lang, Peter Sittler	109
Augmented Reality as a Communication Tool in Urban Design Processes	119
BROWNTRANS – Focusing Brownfield Knowhow Transfer	127
Cadastral Feedback on Spatial Planning	133
Cellular Automata Approach for Medium Sized Cities	141
CG Mixed Reality Architectural Workspace	149
City Building and Urban Failure: Why Urban Development in Serbia Does Not Achieve Planned Results	159
City Works: A New Model for Management of Public Landuu	167
Climate Data Analysis on IGIS	171
Filipp Galyano, Vasily Popovich, Manfred Schrenk, Natalia Zhukova	
Ana Perić, Danilo Furundžić	
Aynaz Lotfata	
Creating Collective Memories in Urban Spaces of Iran	
Creativity and Innovation in a Mid-Urban Size Learning Infrastructure – Designing Spaces for Thriving Innovation Communities	
Crime Mapping for Urban Planning – a Useful Tool for New Planning Times?	213
Cross-Border Transport Modelling in the Region of Aache	223
Conny Louen, Julia Kammer	
Data Analysis Methods for Urban Planning – Problem-Oriented Stakeholders Maps Building	

Decision Support Systems and Tools as Collaborative Web Platform for Sustainable Development of Landscapes	
Density Exercises in Projects of Oriol Bohigas. Density as a Tool for Suburbs Regeneration	249
Deprived Neighbourhoods in Neo-Liberal Times – the Role of Public Funding in Education	
Drive Towards Circular Land Use Management	26 7
Einkaufsstättenwahl, Einzelhandelscluster und räumliche Versorgungsdisparitäten – Modellierung von Marktgebieten im Einzelhandel unter Berücksichtigung von Agglomerationseffekten	
Endangerments on Schools	
Environmental Management in the Coastal Urban Area of Alimos	29 3
Environmental Monitoring and Planning: Joining Forces for Facing Changes	303
Evaluation of City Development Strategy as a New Planning Framework with Emphasis on Good Governance in Qazvin City	ı
Mostafa Momeni, Marjan Javadian Namini, Hanieh Shamskooshki	313
Flooding, Vulnerability and Livelihoods of Low Income Coastal Settlements in Lagos	
French Planning System Paradox	
From Plan to Augmented Reality – Workflow for Successful Implementation of AR Solutions in Planning and Participation Processes	
Florian Reinwald, Christian Schober, Doris Damyanovic	339
Geographic Information System for Land Acquisition Process: A Social Need for Road Infrastructure Development in India	
Geomedia Skills – a Required Prerequisite for Public Participation in Urban Planning?	35
Gestione delle risorse ambientali e pianificazione del territorio: le linee guida per la Valutazione ambientale strategica come strumento sistemico nei processi di pianificazione e programmazione	36′
Graffiti in Graz. Spatiotemporale Ansätze zur Analyse eines sozio-kulturellen Phänomens	37
Historical Buildings Integration Into a Modern Industrial Urban Environment of Perm	387
Hot Town, Summer in the City – Entwicklung von hitzerelevanten Anpassungsstrategien im Städtetourismus	393
Housing Quality and Lost (Public) Space in Croatia	399
Human Sensory Assessment Methods in Urban Planning – a Case Study in Alexandria Benjamin S. Bergner, Jan-Philipp Exner, Martin Memmel, Rania Raslan, Dina Taha, Manar Talal, Peter Zeile	407
Incremental Planning – Cooperative Scenario and/or Masterplan? Long- and Short-Term Planning Horizon of Urban Design Projects within the Existing Urban Fabric. Analysis of Projects in Vienna and Switzerland with Regard to the Factors Triggering Varying Planning Times	419
Silja Tillner Information Around Us: Questions Connected to Information and Data Heterogeneities in Planning Activities	429
Otakar Cerba	
Infrastructures of Smart Platforms – Mobile Tools to Control Intelligent Networks in Dynamic Urban Space	435
Interactive Simulation of Urban Environments over Time with Respect to Human Values	
Interweaving the Digital and Analog Lives of Cities: Urban Sensing and User-Generated Cities	453



Keeping the Public Sphere Anchored to Social Changes	465 465
Klimaverträglich mobil in Zeiten des demographischen Wandels – Wie wohnen Mobilität bestimmt	
Kollektive Strategien für zukunftsfähige Stadtentwicklung – Erfahrungen aus einem partizipativen Szenarienprozess in Niederösterreich	485
Elisabeth Schauppenlehner-Kloyber, Marianne Penker, Michael Braito	485
Landscape as a Connection – Beyond Boundaries Tamara Marić, Josip Zaninović, Bojana Bojanić Obad Šćitaroci	
Le Politiche Temporali Urbane tra Pianificazione e Inclusività Sociale: il Caso dei Piani Territoriali dei Tempi e degli Spazi della Regione Puglia in Italia	
London After the Spectacle Year, Who Claims Which Space and Who Gets it?	517
Longing for the Ordinary – the Meaning of Authentic Places in the North-American Metropolis	527
L'altra faccia dell'economia: gli street vendors e l'uso dello spazio urbano nell'area metropolitana di Cagliari	535
Metrics of Assessing Affordable Living Justyna Karakiewicz	545
Mobile Embedded Climate Sensing 2.0	
Benjamin Allbach, Sascha Henninger	
Modernity and Collage of City Non-Core Area: the Case of Suzhou River Area in Shanghai	561
Morgenstadt: CityInsights. A Research Approach for Systems Research in Urban Development Dominik Kalisch, Susanne Schatzinger, Steffen Braun, Alanus v. Radecki	
Neighbourhoods' Future Created by Combined Stakeholder Engagement	
Neoliberal Challenges and Practices of Urban Regeneration Projects in Istanbul	
Neue Instrumente der Partizipation: Vergleich von mobiler Augmented Reality und Perspektivskizzen im Rahmen des Shared-Space-Projektes Alleegasse in Hartberg	
New Geographies of Self-Organisation	
New Geographies of Seif-Organisation	
New Methods of Climate Monitoring	
New Public Open Spaces and Old Prejudices: Public Space Uses in the Centre of Medellín	
New Quality of Public Spaces as a Stimulant for Socio-Economic Development – the Specificity of Medium-Sized Towns. Anna Golędzinowska	
Nuove strategie sociali, economiche, urbane e architettoniche per il social housing	
Objektorientierte Landbedeckungsklassifikation von Graz (Österreich) unter besonderer Berücksichtigung der dritten Dimension	651
Wolfgang Sulzer, Marc Muick, Winfried Ganster	
Open Space for Social Housing – between Social Benefit and Marketing Asset?	
Opportunities for the Development of the Latvian Property Tax Administration System through Improvements in the Property Registration System and the Implementation of European Union Requirements for Geospatial Information Sarmite Barvika, Aldis Rausis, Inga Berzina	
Optimizing Public Participation through ICT and Social Networks: Questions and Challenges	
Planning in Fragile Sites in Turkey: in Case of Hasankeyf	
Hale Mamunlu Kocabas	
Planning Times of the City: an Overview on Urban Time Policies	701

Polish Suburban Landscape Made of Entrepreneurial Tissue	71 71
Polycentric Structures and Mobility in Agglomerations – an Analysis of the Vorarlberg Rhine Valley in Austria	
Pop-up Pest: An Educational Game for Active Participation of Children and Youth in Urban Planning Eszter Tóth, Alenka Poplin	
Public Space Issues in Bali Tourist Beaches Anom Rajendra, Richard Nicholls	
Quantifying Town Development in Space and Time using Land Use Data	75
Regional Land-Taking Processes in Italy: a Study Concerning Sardinia	. 75 75
Regional Planning and Territorial Competitiveness: the Role of Identitary Heritage. The Case of the Sardinian Region Anna Maria Colavitti, Sergio Serra, Alessia Usai	
Research on China's Urban Network Based on the Relations between Micro-Blog Users: a Case Study of Sina Micro-Blog Zhen, Bo Wang, Guangliang Xi, Yinxue Chen	
Smart Community Participation for Revitalization of Urban Green Spaces Over Time: Case Study New Delhi	79
Social Housing in Serbia: Dual Approach	80
Solid Waste Management, an Environmental Challenge in Millennium Cyber City in India, Gurgaon	81
Spatial and Temporal Dynamics of Residential Areas Affected by the Industrial Function in a Post-Communist City – Case Study Bucharest	
Diana Andreea Onose, Ioan Cristian Iojă, Gabriel Ovidiu Vânău, Mihai Răzvan Niță, Cristiana Maria Ciocănea, Delia Adriana	Mire
Spatial Resilience of Megacities based on Conceptual Model from Concept to Implementation. Case Study: Greater Cairo, Egypt	83
Strengthening Alexandria Urban Fabric by Planning Urbanism's Walkable Area	
Study on "Micro-Participation" of the City – Emergency Management in the Age of Micro-Blogging	85
Supporting Spatial Planning with Qualitative Configuration Analysis	86
Synergies and Goal Conflicts for Climate Change Policy and Spatial Planning Douglas Baker, Gregory Marston, Lachlan McClure	87
Temporality of Physical and Political Liminal Spaces in the Urban Transformations of the Greater Paris Federica Gatta	87
The Beauty or the Beast? Can Illegal Housing Tackle the Problem of Social Integration and Social Housing?	88
The Challenge of Economic Regeneration in Small Urban Settlements of Greece Despina Dimelli	90
The Elderly under Urban Heat Pressure – Strategies and Behaviours of Elderly Residents against Urban Heat	90
Blättner, Henny Annette Grewe	
The Heart of the City from a Socio Cultural Perspective	91
The Identity of Place and Memory of Time Define Space-Time of Human Architecture	92
The Multidimensionality of Contemporary Urban Spaces – Implications for Design	94
The Planning of Peri-Urban Agricultural Areas: the Case of "L'Horta de València"	95
The Rural-Urban Fringe in the Netherlands: a Morphological Analysis of Recent Urban Developments	96



The Slums Affect the Future of the Metropolis	
Sonia Pintus	
The Taming of the Shrew: Coping with Illegal Settlements in Belgrade, Serbia	
Timeless Modernity, Shifting Ideologies: a Vibrant Street in a Distorted Reality?	
Touristic Potentials of Open Space Heritage – 4 Case Studies in South East Europe	
Transnational Planning Support by the European Geodata Infrastructure INSPIRE	
Urban Coastal Environment and Management Policies in Attica	1019
Urban Health in India: a Challenge to Policy Making	1027
Urban Heat Islands – Strategy Plan Vienna	1037
Ursula Liebl	
Urban Infill as Strategy for Social Housing Stock	
Mariella Annese, Barbara Del Brocco.	
Urban Planning Implications of Changing Land Use Structure of Metropolitan Lagos, Nigeria Leke Oduwaye	
Valuation Cycles Of Pre Industrial Townscape	
Abandonded Churches in European Countries: a UK Perspective	
Abandoned and Re-Used Churches in Germany	
About Historical Centers: Is the Trend towards Decor Really Irresistible?	
Are the Netherlands Shrinking or Just Changing?	
ARGUS: a Personalised Guidance System to Improve Autonomy of People with Visual Impairment in the City Oihana Otaegui, Estíbaliz Loyo, Eduardo Carrasco, Claudia Fösleitner, John Spiller, Daniela Patti, Adela Marcoci, Rafael	1099
Markus Dubielzig	
Attract-SEE – Assessing Territorial Attractiveness in South East Europe. Establishing a Common Territorial Monitor	
Framework	1105
Julia Neuschmid, Christian Eizinger, Blaž Barborič, Graziella Guaragno, Tomaž Miklavčič, Stefano Marani, Ljiljana Ž	
Francesca Altomare, Đorđe Milić, Gianandrea Esposito, Alessandro Selva CentropeSTATISTICS – Interactive Creation of Maps and Charts	
Clemens Beyer, Walter Pozarek, Manfred Schrenk	
Cities for All: All-Inclusive Collective Urban Spaces for the Public – a Case of a Successful Interactive Model Eric R. P. Farr, Poorang (Amir E.) Piroozfar	
Competitiveness Factors of Higher Education Institutions, with Particular Respect to Hungarian Cities	
Construction of Spatial Memory Demolished Historic Architectural Context after 1972 Earthquake in Managua, Nicaragua	
Romer Altamirano Guerrero, Martín Alfredo Majewsky García	
CURe MODERN – Monitoring of Infrastructures in Cross-Border Regions	
Data Representation Dynamic Model for Distributed Urban IGIS	
Development of the Border Territories within the Framework of National Development Plan for the Republic of Kazakhstan	1147
Turlybek Mussabayev, Karlygash Muldagaliyeva	
Disaster Prevention Planning and Disaster Preparedness for Earthquake	1153

Economy out of the Big Lights: the Issue of Mono-Cities in the Republic of Kazakhstan within the Framework of Na	
Development Plan for the Republic of Kazakhstan Turlybek Mussabayev, Karlygash Muldagaliyeva	
Effective Usage of Short-Term Parking Zones by Offering Real-Time Information on the Utilisation of Parking Lots Tina Uhlmann, Reinhard Hössinger, Peter Widhalm	
Energia e paesaggio al tempo dei cambiamenti climatici	
Energy Poverty: Considerations for Socially Sustainable Shifts Towards Renewable Energy Sources	
FIFA World Cup 2018 – the Planning Challenge for Russian Cities	1185
Globalization and Urban Land Use Planning: The Case of Lagos, Nigeria	1193
Governance in the Metropolitan Region: The Vienna-Bratislava Case Daniela Patti	
Handlungsoptionen für Transformationsprozesse österreichischer Städte Richtung Smart City: Den demographisch Wandel beachten!	1207
Martin Berger, Martina Jauschneg, Sebastian Beiglböck, Tobias Panwinkler, Katharina Gugerell, Carina Diesenreiter	
Heute die Jugend, morgen die ganze Welt – nachhaltige Fortbewegung langfristig fördern Elisabeth Füssl, Manuel Oberlader, Odilo Seisser, Alexander Risser, Ralf Risser	1213
High-Resolution Global Monitoring of Urban Settlements	
HLANDATA – Harmonisation of Land Use and Land Cover Data Across Europe: Project Results	
Hydro Urban Units – a Meso Scale Approach for Integrated Planning Bernd Eisenberg, Eva Nemcova, Rossana Poblet, Antje Stokman	
I-SCOPE: Smart Cities and Citizens	
Indicator-Based Assessment of Land Use Planning in Wrocław Region with CommunityViz	1 24 7
JPI Urban Europe – Urban Megatrends Study	
LIMES – From Beacons to Facebook	
LIMES – Older than the Way of St. James	
LIMES – Turning on the Light Switch	1267
Linking Demographic and Spatial Data for a Successful Stakeholder Process in Climate Change Protection Projects	
The Case Study of Leoben/AT	1273
Living Environment Information Services – Enhancing the Collaboration between Authorities and the Citizens Kaarina Vartiainen, Niina Nieminen, Tiia Tanskanen	1279
Meeting the Needs of Different User Groups in Mobility as Key to Ensure Social Inclusion	1285
Meter-ON: Smart Metering for Europe's Smart(er) Households	
Motorways in Agglomerations – Changing Concepts for Changing Needs	1295
Opportunities for Sustainable Development of Suburban Rural Areas on Example of Karabiha Rural Settlement	1301
Orijentir – Interactive City Guide for All	1305
Prato: Organizzazione e Tecnologie per un nuovo Modello di Sviluppo urbano consapevole	1309
Regional Effects of Urban Planning – an Informal GIS Tool to Support Sustainable Strategic Planning	



Rete Ecologica Locale, aree verdi al limite tra città e campagna	
Reuse of Abandoned Churches in the Netherlands	
Risk Management and Spatial Planning – Understanding Rapid Urbanization in Climate Change	
Smart Cities and Urban Governance. The urbanAPI Project: Bologna Case Study	
Stadtentwicklungsfonds – ein innovatives europäisches Finanzierungsinstrument zur Entwicklung integrierter Immobilien	
Stadtklimakomfortzonen – von übergeordneten Planungen zu lokalen Interventionen Bernd Eisenberg	
SUNSHINE – Smart UrbaN ServIces for Higher eNergy Efficiency	
The 7+1 Graz Process – a Method for Promoting the Development of a Smart City	
The Role of Community in Urban Regeneration: Mixed Use Areas Approach in USA	1361
Underground Space – Lost Space Ready to be Reclaimed	
Urban Agriculture: How to Create a Natural Connection between the Urban and Rural Environment in Almere Oosterwold (NL)	
Urban Dimension of Territorial Cohesion: Perspective Facing the Crisis	1383
Urban Nexus – Structured Dialogue, Problem-Solving, and Strategic Partnerships	
Urban Risk Assessment using Intelligent Geoinformation System	
Urban Space Patterns and Homelessness in Bucharest, Romania	
VIATOR – A Mobile Travel Companion for Disabled Persons	
Was kosten Radverkehr, Fußverkehr, öffentlicher Personennahverkehr und Kfz-Verkehr eine Kommune? – Entwund Anwendung einer Methode für den Vergleich verschiedener Verkehrsmittel anhand von kommunalen Hausha Volker Schmitt, Björn Bauer, Carsten Sommer	icklung lten 1417
Discomfort of the Present, Relief of the Future	
Sustainable Mobility in Urban and Touristic Areas	



Social Housing in Serbia: Dual Approach

Uros Vesic, Tatjana Kosic, Aleksandra Krstic-Furundzic

(PhD Candidate Uros Vesic, Faculty of Architecture University of Belgrade, Bulevar kralja Aleksandra 73/II, uros.vesic@gmail.com)

(PhD Candidate Tatjana Kosic, Faculty of Architecture University of Belgrade, Bulevar kralja Aleksandra 73/II, tkosic@arh.bg.ac.rs)
(Prof. Dr Aleksandra Kristic-Furundzic, Faculty of Architecture University of Belgrade, Bulevar kralja Aleksandra 73/II,
akrstic@arh.bg.ac.rs)

1 ABSTRACT

Examining Serbian housing policy in the past two decades which has been radically transfered from the communist version of "welfare state" to the neoliberal concept of housing market, this paper firstly identifies major subjects and activities in the field of social housing and systematizes kinds of action related to these activities. Sudden state's withdrawal from the housing matter, followed by the lack of land regulations and permanent economic crisis, caused almost unsolvable problem of adequate provision of housing for the most of the population in Serbia.

The initial course, performed through privatisation of 98 % of public housing stock at the beginning of the last decade of the 20th century, took place apart from the few other housing policy initiatives and processes that were unconformably to each other. The state successively abandoned introduction of housing policy, untill it almost ran short of its institutional and active capacities that had been developing by decades in communism. Until 2004 housing policy was trying to achieve short-dated political aims, but since then, the need for introducton of new systematic housing solutions, including social housing above all, emerged. Serbian government began to act in two separate ways, although without yet astablished long-term national housing policy: First was to try to support, financially and legislatively, production of affordable housing, so called "cheap flats" for subsidized sale; and the other was to try to establish public rented housing, but this time based on economic sustainability instead of general social equity proclaimed in communism. Several projects of "cheap flats" for subsidized sale have been developed, while some of them are stlill under construction, or in the planing stage. On the other side, the initial impulse for public rented housing foundation in Serbia was the 15 milion euros pilot project - Settlement and Integration of Refugees Programme (SIRP 2003-2008.) - financed by the Italian government, that was realized in seven Serbian municipalities. Thus, first non-profit Public Housing Agencies in Serbia were established, and new public housing stock was built and inhabited.

Considering serbian social housing policy in general and highlighting some of their characteristics related to several projects, this study focuses on both of these two recognized courses by analisys and critic review of achieved results.

2 MAIN CHARACTERISTICS OF HOUSING POLICY IN SERBIA AT THE TURN OF THE 21 CENTURY

In the past two decades, Serbian housing policy has been radically transferred from the communist version of "welfare state" to the neoliberal concept of housing market. Sudden state's withdrawal from the housing matter, followed by the lack of land regulations and permanent economic crisis, as well as significant political changes caused almost unsolvable problem of providing adequate housing for the most of the population in Serbia.

The initial course, performed through privatisation of 98 % of public housing stock at the beginning of the last decade of the 20th century, took place apart from the few other housing policy initiatives and processes that were uncomfortably to each other. The state successively abandoned introduction of housing policy, until it almost ran short of its institutional and active capacities that had been developing by decades in communism. Different subsidised programmes and various measures of development of housing policy in Serbia on which, in the 90-ties, were spent between 800 million and 1 billion euros (Mojovic, 2009) did not produce significant effect in the overall picture, simply as there was no comprehensive project of housing development. Usually, individual programmes were in the promotional role of political parties at the time and also represented huge financial burden for local authorities where the sluggishness contributed to the fact that some were late or even they were not started to this day.



2.1 Public housing stock privatisation

Milestone event in the Serbian housing policy occured in 1990 when the Government put an end to the four-decade financing practice of so-called "social residental building". Privatisation of so-called "cheap flats" primarily was carried out according to the Law on Residental Relations¹ (Official Gazette SRS and Official Gazette RS, 1990) and according to the Residental Law² (Official Gazette RS, 1992), after the next five years, resulted in 98 % of privately owned apartments.

Although Serbian government intended to gain remarkable sum of money through the privatisation process and planned to transfer that money to the next residental building cycle, because of the hyperinflation and decentralized manner of flat buyout, the whole process ended without any positive financial impact on the proposed aims.

Serbian Constitution³ (Official Gazette SRS, 1990) from 1990 had forseen that citizens should satisfy their residental needs by acquireing residental space (flats, residental buildings or houses) on their own, either by buying it or renting it on the free market. Yet, according to the same Constitution, the State was still in obligation to provide a certain public housing stock for socially endangered households.

2.2 Development of residential market after democratic changes in Serbia

Increasing demand for new apartments as a result of rising number of households compared with increased population brought the new concept of housing market. Unlike EU in the 90-ties, where number of households have risen by 15 % and number of apartments was larger than number of households, in Serbia, in number of households increased by 6 % and number of apartment by 7 % (Petovar, 2003). After year 2000 in Serbia there was larger number of apartments than number of households by 8 % (2002 Census) and according to the 2011 Census by as much as 30 % (Statistical Office of the Republic of Serbia). This data confirms drastically increase of demand for the apartments. Present estimate that only in Belgrade city there is a need for 40.000 appartment units supports the previous statistics. On the other hand, rising number of households prompted the increase market of private rental housing units which represent a significant share in total housing stock (today in Serbia there is approximately 500.000 subtenants, including 100.000 in Belgrade alone) (B92, 2008).

Since 2004., in order to encourage and support normal function of housing market, the state established governmental insurance and subsidies for housing loans, enacted an efficient Mortgage Law⁴ (Official Gazette RS, 2005) SRS and initiated Real estate registry.

Idea of subsidized loans for real estate purchase is not an invovative, nor is the idea of "mass low cost housing construction", as starting from 1999 local governments have been advertising it, though it was never fully implemented. The establishment of Nationalal Mortgage Insurance Corporation (NMIC)⁵ in 2004 was followed by signing of first agreements with approximately 20 banks regulating mortgage procedures that was primarily based on reduction of annual interest rate below 9 % instead of regular 12 %-15 %. According to NMIC data for the period from 2004 to 2008, the total sum of several thousand loans subsidized by the state was about 205 million euros. By the end of 2012 number of such approved loans was more than 70.000 (National Mortgage Insurance Corporation, 2013). For Serbian economic and social circumstances, this type of financing also represents the really good bust for the housing industry.

All these governmental activities are directed towards the attempt to encrease the potential number of solvent clients on the housing market, which has nothing to do with social housing itself. Main doctrine of state's policy still remains the same: agitation for personal possesion of a real estate neglecting noumerous positive aspects of rented housing as an equal housing concept.





¹ In Serbian: Закон о стамбеним односима, Службени Гласник СРС, Бр. 12/1990, 47/1990, 55/1990 Службени Гласник РС, Бр. 3/1990 и 7/1990

² In Serbian: Закон о становању, Службени Гласник РС, Бр. 50/1992

³ In Serbian: Устав Републике Србије, Службени Гласник СРС, Бр. 1/1990

⁴ In Serbian: Закон о хипотеци, Службени Гласник РС, Бр. 115/2005

⁵ In Serbian: Nacionalna korporacija za osiguranje stambenih kredita (NKOSK)

3 SOCIAL HOUSING IN SERBIA AFTER YEAR 2000

This complex issue of social housing in Serbia is lead by the fact that social housing are not built just for the low income population at the bottom of the income ladder, but are also needed for the middle income population which is restricted by the high property price, unfriendly interest mortgage rates and can not afford appropriate standard of living at market prices due to overall economic situation.

Untill 2004 housing policy was trying to achieve short-dated political aims, but since then, the need for introducton of new systematic housing solutions, including social housing above all, emerged, although without yet astablished long-term national housing policy.

Serbian government began to act in two separate ways, although without yet astablished long-term national housing policy: First way was to try to support, finacially and lagislatively, production of affordable housing, so-called "cheap flats" for subsidized sale; and the other way was to try to establish public rented housing, but this time based on economic sustainability instead of general social equity proclaimed in communism. The initial impulse for public rented housing foundation in Serbia was SIRP programme. On the other side, several projects of "cheap flats" for subsidized sale have been developed mostly in Belgrade, the capital city of Serbia, while some of them are still under construction or in the planing stage. The estimate is that in the past two decades over 15.000 housing units were built in Serbia via state budget and various donations (Mojović, 2009).

3.1 Re-establishing of social rented housing in Serbia after year 2000

The Settlement and Integration of Refugees Programme (SIRP), devoted to solve the housing problem of Serbian refugees from Bosina and Herzegovina, Croatia and Kosovo, was based on the "National Strategy for resolving the problems of war refugees and forcedly displaced persons" from 2002.

Re-establishing of social rented housing in Serbia and all the necessary institutions on the municipal level, as well as the erection of a certain number of social housing real estates (531 flat in total) in the period from 2005 to 2008, are the teamwork results of: seven Municipal Housing Agencies and other communal departments at the local level,⁶ amenable state ministries and the UN-HABITAT international experts team. SIRP programme was partly financed by the Italian government with the amount of 15 million euros for covering the 70 % of estimated costs (UN-HABITAT, 2008).

Primal aims of SIRP programme was to conceive, evolve and test the basic elements of future system of social rented housing, as well as housing policies on the municipal level.

The series of architectural and urbanistic competition were held during 2005 and 2006 in order to get the best design solutions for the social housing buildings. Serbian architects showed great interest on this topic, which resulted with over 130 competitional entries in total that were disposable on the seven SIRP competitions.



Fig. 1: SIRP programme - Social housing in a) Pancevo built in 2008 and b) Stara Pazova built in 2007.

⁶ SIRP programme was realised in seven major Serbian municipalities and cities: Cacak, Kragujevac, Kraljevo, Nis, Pancevo, Valjevo and Stara Pazova.







Fig. 2: SIRP programme – Social housing in a) Nis built in 2007 and b) Kragujevac built in 2007.

Although social housing buildings were built at urbanisticaly and morphologically different sites (Fig. 1, Fig 2, Fig. 3 and Fig. 4), thus unique and recognizable, there are some common characteristics of these sites and buldings, such as:

- Access to the comunal services and infrastructure.
- All buildings consist of small flats $(20 \text{ m}^2 55 \text{ m}^2)^7$ for 2-5 tenents.
- All social housing real estates function as multy-dwelling residental housing.
- Buildings have ground floor plus 2 4 stories.
- Basement, if there is any at all, is never used for residental purposes.
- There are no lifts in these buildings.
- Additional exterior spaces for common use (playground for children, parking spaces, green spaces with benches, etc.) are obtained in the surrounding of buildings.

User households that were chosen through a transparent system of criteria and selection rules are: refugees, former refugees and local socially endangered population (single parents with children, homeless, families who lived in the inadequate housing conditions, etc.), which means that a social mix have been achieved, according to the European social housing practice.



Fig. 3: SIRP programme - Social housing in Cacak built in 2008.

⁷ Serbian housing standards define the structure of a flat by counting all the rooms. For example, "two-room flat" consists of 1 bedroom and 1 living room.









Fig. 4 a) and b): SIRP programme - Social housing in Valjevo built in 2008.

3.1.1 <u>Usage and maintenance of new social rented housing stock</u>

These social housing buildings in Serbia are in use only 3-5 years so far, and this period coincide with the first contract period of flat renting. At this moment, it is possible to make a cetrain overview of usage and maintenace of these buildings.

Generally, SIRP programme was targeted to obtain temporary homes for Serbian refugees in municipal owned housing stock. Obviosly, refugees were glad to move from the refugee camps, shelters and collective centers to separate housing units. Generally speaking, these people, before the war, lived in good housing conditions in their native countries, and this program was an attempt to restore their dignity by giving them housing conditions which are familiar to them.

First conflicts between users and Municipal Housing Agencies (MHA) occured when they realized that social flats they are using are meant to be "social rented flats" owned by Municipality and there will not be any subsidized purchase of these, as they expected. Moreover, in many municipalities certain number of chosen users refused to sign 3-year housing contract when they bacame aware they can not become owners of these flats by no means (Damjanovic and Gligorijevic, 2010).

Expectations and demands of users in the first months of using social rented flats were great and unrealistic: they expected Municipal Housing Agencies to repair damage they made, to finance replacements of water boilers, bulbs etc. (according to 3–year housing contract, these were users obligations).

Original enthusiasm of users and their delectation with new homes soon was suppressed by fury and frustration. Suddenly, they felt that social rented housing (as is obvious, is nothing more than temporary solution) can not be adequate way for "permanent integration in Serbia", and they started to wander "what kind of integration can be achieved with temporary housing?".

Whereas SIRP programme was not a donation but a subsidized loan, very precise plan for cost recovery from the flat renting have been made. Programme has forced Municipal Housing Agencies to be obliged to amortize 30 % of the loan to Republic Housing Agency in 30-year period based on annual interest rate of 0,5 %. In theory, this system was meant to be sustainable, but in practice, some arised problems can compromise the entire cost recovery system.

Although rents for social rented flats are lowest possible even for the Serbian standard, Municipal Housing Agencies are confronted with quite a number of users who do not pay their rent regularly or even refuse to pay as a kind of protest for impossibility of flat purchase.

Furthermore, lot of users often delay the monthly payment of their bills for electricity, heating, telephone etc. or do not pay at all. Due to this problem which is present in all municipalities, some Municipal Housing Agencies (for example in Kragujevac) were forced to react: one of the main conditions for new 3-year housing contract is that the user is obliged to pay all his debts.

_



⁸ EUR 25 per month for the flat of 20 m² up to maximum of EUR 88 per month for the 55 m² flat

3.2 Belgrade experience in the social housing development after year 2000

In the new social and legal environment with increased burden of socio-economic problems as a result of so called transition, just ended wars and NATO intervention, Belgrade newly elected authorities starts two parallel activities:

- City Council in cooperation with Funds for financing low cost "solidarity housing" starts
 Programme of building of solidarity housing 2001-2005, with target of 2000 units, where only 1421
 were finished.
- City Council adopts the decision of building so called "social-non-profit apartments" and social apartments for governmental employees and other social categories.

First of such projects was "Project of 1100 flats in Belgrade" in 2003. This project was accompanied by the Decision on conditions and manner of disposal of apartments built according to project "1100 apartments in Belgrade". The ownership of apartments would be still in the governmental hands, but the City of Belgrade could offer it for sale (1000 flats so-called "cheap flats") or rent for the certain period of time to the persons with clearly defined social needs (100 flats – Public Rented Housing), Project was done on the basis of analysis of various available locations owned by city Council, namely Cukaricka padina, Retenzija (Fig. 5a), Vojvodjanska Street (Fig. 5b) and Olge Alkalaj Street. The project was finished by 2007.





Fig. 5: Social-non-profit apartments in Belgrade - a) Retenzija built in 2006 and b) Vojvodjanska street built in 2007.

By the end of 2007 "Project of 2000 social-nonprofit flats in Belgrade" started. Locations proposed for realization of this project were: settlement Dr Ivan Ribar (Fig. 6a), Vojvodjanska Street (Fig. 6b), Cukaricka padina, settlement Kamendin and New Belgrade's blocks 62 and 29. First 1000 units were offered for sale in 2012 following terms of who could be buyers. Buyers that were chosen through a transparent system of criteria and selection rules were governmental employees such as teachers of elementary and high schools, university employees, law enforcement employees, local councils, young married couples, war veterans, artists, sportsmen and disabled persons with their families.

The terms for apartment's sale were 20 % deposit, 20 year loan with 0,5 % annual interest rate. This has provided the possibility for future customer's loan with a maximum of $\frac{1}{2}$ total monthly incomes per household to meet monthly obligation for debt repayment. Price was 1.050 euros per sq. meter. Though it was so called non-profit development, nowhere one could publicly find the price of design, construction and infrastructural part of the selling price.

So far 2.150 "social-non-profit flats" have been built in Belgrade. Due to long lasting planning and other procedures the second project for another 1000 flats is still in the drafting phase.

_

806

⁹ There is "social housing" and there is "non-profit housing building", but there are no "social-non-profit apartments". What amaze is the fact that City government in official communication with public uses non-existent term demonstrating elementary ignorance and misunderstanding term of social housing.

¹⁰ In Serbian: Одлука о условима и начину располагања становима изграђеним према пројекту 1100 станова у Београду, Службени лист града Београда, Бр.20/2003





Fig. 6: Social-non-profit apartments in Belgrade – a) settlement Dr Ivan Ribar and b) Vojvodjanska street, both built in 2012.

Simultaneously, with the construction of social-non-profit flats started the construction of social apartments for renting to people in state of social need in locations: settlement Dr Ivan Ribar (Fig. 7a), Kamendin and Veliki Mokri Lug (Fig. 7b). From the beginning up to today 450 social apartments has been built in Belgrade.





Fig. 7: Social apartments in Belgrade – a) settlement Dr Ivan Ribar and b) Mali Mokri Lug, both built in 2012.

Taking into consideration already mention data, the number of social housing units in Belgrade represent negligible share of housing units in total housing units stock, unlike countries like Germany, Austria and Great Britain where share of social housing units is about 25 % (Petovar, 2003).

3.2.1 Planning and legislative documentation

So-called General Plan of City of Belgrade 2021¹¹ (Official Gazette of the City of Belgrade, 2003) is the first planning document which clearly defines and introduces the definition of social housing as it is known in developed countries.

General Plan gives the definition of vulnerable social groups which need special attention and help in obtaining adequate housing (young families with income insufficient to purchase an apartment, single parent families, big families with children, refugees, temporarily displaced persons, disabled persons, unemployed, etc.); gives guidelines for social living standards stipulating 5-15 m2 per person; states the criteria for location for social housing and gives two systemic solutions for obtaining locations:

- Construction of social housing dwellings in the planned residential group of more than 250 apartments, within which 5-8 % should be assigned for social housing.
- Construction of social housing dwellings on 58 designated locations of various capacity prevised by General Plan.

In essence, problems which are recognized in Belgrade, and are mostly the same for other locations within Serbia for social and non-profit housing, are (Damjanovic and Gligorijevic, 2010):

 $^{^{11}}$ In Serbian: Генерални план Београда 2021, Службени лист града Београда, Бр. 27/2003

- None existing legislative and regulations which would constitute a consistent legal support and channelling of development of such programs and projects.
- Small number of locations for such purpose problems arising from obtaining such locations in the existing legal frame. Suggested locations are in accordance with General plan but there are still ownership issues to be resolved.
- Problem of implementation of social housing due to the intolerant neighbouring population socalled NIMBY syndrome. 12
- None existing standards for social housing.

3.3 Law on social housing

Final result of these programmes was the Draft of Law on social housing, which task was to initiate legislative establishing of basic instruments and institutions, on both national and local level, that would secure implementation of public intervention in housing policy in Serbia.

Concepts and principles that the Draft of Law proposes are (Damjanovic and Gligorijevic, 2010):

- Public intervention in the realm of housing policy (i.e. social housing) allude to much wider set of measures then traditional concept of social rented housing
- Financial support for social housing programmes should be obtained at the national level, but the implementation should be done at the local level
- System of financing has to be based on non-profit but recoverable cost principle
- All subsidies must be transparent
- Social housing must be based on economical, financial, social and ecological sustainability

Law on social housing¹³ (Official Gazette RS, 2009), based on previously mentioned Draft of Law, came into force at the end of August 2009, after several years of legal procedure.

On its very beginning, the Law states that social housing is not a part of some special housing policy, but more likely a part of broader housing policy that has to be defined in the future by changable strategic documents. The realm of public intervention is not bounded by this Law and it purports widest possible comprehension of non-profit, affordable and social housing which corresponds to the definitions of social housing given by European associations.¹⁴

Law on social housing suggests urgent need of enactment of "National strategy for social housing" and apropriate "Action plan", as well as establishing of Republic Housing Agency. Republic Housing Agency, as an national institution that resembles to those in most european countries, was finally founded according to Law in July 2011. by Serbian Government, but no "National strategy for social housing" or "Action plan" have been enacted or even concidered so far.

First Municipal Housing Agencies (MHA) in Serbia, as major institutions in charge for setting and implementation of local housing policies, in fact were established in 2003, before the SIRP programme: City Government of Nis and Kragujevac transformed existing public institutions that remained from the communimst period (so-called "City Funds for Solidarity Housing Construction") into Municipal Housing Agencies. After that, more MHA-s were established during the SIRP program (Kraljevo, Cacak, Valjevo, Pancevo). Apart from SIRP, MHA-s were found in Leskovac, Kikinda and Smederevo, while there are few more cities an municipalities that are preparing foundation of their own MHA, according to the Law.

4 CONCLUSION

Intensive development of social housing programmes worldwide happened during two last decades when Serbia experienced the toughest political and economic time in its recent history. In the context of socio-economic problems caused by the transition, wars and bombing by NATO, the long-term national social

¹⁴ Such as for example: CECODHAS Housing Europe



808



¹² Not In My Back Yard (NIMBY) – English phrase refers to the fact that is generally agreed that there is residential care for socially vulnerable groups somewhere else, "but not in my backyard".

¹³ In Serbian: Zakon o socijalnom stanovanju, Службени Гласник РС, Бр. 72/2009

housing policy has not been established as yet and its clues in practice are still rare. Republic and Municipal Housing Agencies as main institutions are establishing the basic instruments that would secure implementation of public intervention, identifying needs on both national and local level and gaining experience from recent projects and programmes. This paper is aimed in showing the results of two different approaches to social housing policies.

It absolutely clear today that it is not realistic to expect good quality apartments to be give as a "free gift" nowadays to any group of population, no matter how poor it is in the context of today's economic, political and social circumstances in Serbia. The solution maybe lies in the blend of existing models for social housing which in the existing moment has to prevent rigid interpretation of the rules and regulations for housing developments of this kind and create flexibility which will be able to adapt to living dynamism of an individual person. In that sense bigger flats should be built without finishing and interior works opposing to smaller completely finished units. As a result of this model low building price can be achieved and needs of the future tenants easier met, where they would be tailoring the interiors to their taste and will not be spending extra money as it is now, when the full product is on the market. This in return will give more financial space to local government for infrastructure development projects on the designated locations.

Housing construction has to be complex process that starts on the concept phase to the realisation phase going through wide range of carefully selected activities and stakeholders, where the role of the architect as "demijurg" of living space can not be neglected even in the process of decision making and implementation of various housing programs. As there is no relevant experience, rules, models, as well as guidelines for the design of social housing in Serbian practice, recommendations for further research and development should be sought at the intersection of global (European) experience and local (regional) characteristics, in order to find solutions that are socially acceptable and economically feasible.

The disadvantages caused by delays in introduction of housing policy, might be used as advantage by adopting the best experiences and solutions. However, it is of vital importance to identify characteristics of local culture and context, the legislative base, as well as of social housing features, that significantly differ from the standard European milieu. Just with identified local specifics it would be possible to find optimal solutions for further establishing National strategy for social housing and Action plan.

Providing higher public intervention and financial support for social housing programs and establishing set of regulations and standards, as well as straightening connections between national and local institutions, will be of the highest priority for the further development of social housing in Serbia.

5 REFERENCES

Damjanovic, D. and Gligorijevic, Z.: Social Housing – Review of housing policies in Serbia and selected European countries (Социјално становање – Приказ стамбених политика Србије и одабраних земаља Европе), Palgo Centre, Belgrade, 2010. Mojović, Đ.: Social housing finally in the agenda (Социјално становање коначно на дневном реду). RTS News, 6 June 2009, 15 Feb. 2013, http://www.rts.rs

National Mortgage Insurance Corporation, Serbia, 15 Feb. 2013, http://www.nkosk.rs

News B92, 28 Aug. 2008, 15 Feb. 2013, http://www.b92.net

Official Gazette SRS, No. 1/1990, 12/1990, 47/1990, 55/1990

Official Gazette RS, No. 3/1990, 7/1990, 50/1992, 115.2005, 72/2009

Official Gazette of the City of Belgrade, No. 20/2003, No. 27/2003

Petovar, K.: Urban Sociology, Our cities between the state and the citizen (Урбана социологија, Наши градови између државе и грађанина). Faculty of Geography, Belgrade, 2003.

Statistical office of the Republic of Serbia: 2011 Census of Population, Households and Dwellings in the Republic of Serbia-First Results. 15 Feb. 2013, http://webrz.stat.gov.rs

UN-HABITAT: The book about SIRP. In: Programme of the settlement and integration of refugees in Serbia 2005-2008 (Књига о СИРП-у. У: Програм становања и трајне интеграције избеглица у Србији 2005-2008), pp. 27. Belgrade, 2008.



¹⁵ Builder of the World according to Plato's doctrine – a shaper of matter on the basis of perfect ideas.