

CONFERENCE
PROCEEDINGS

**3RD INTERNATIONAL
ACADEMIC CONFERENCE ON
PLACES AND TECHNOLOGIES**

EDITORS
EVA VANIŠTA LAZAREVIĆ
MILENA VUKMIROVIĆ
ALEKSANDRA KRSTIĆ-FURUNDŽIĆ
AND ALEKSANDRA ĐUKIĆ

CONFERENCE
PROCEEDINGS

**3RD INTERNATIONAL
ACADEMIC CONFERENCE ON
PLACES AND TECHNOLOGIES**

EDITORS
EVA VANIŠTA LAZAREVIĆ
MILENA VUKMIROVIĆ
ALEKSANDRA KRSTIĆ-FURUNDŽIĆ
AND ALEKSANDRA ĐUKIĆ

PLACES AND TECHNOLOGIES 2016

**CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS OF THE 3RD INTERNATIONAL ACADEMIC CONFERENCE ON
PLACES AND TECHNOLOGIES**

EDITORS:

Eva VaništaLazarević, Milena Vukmirović, Aleksandra Krstić-Furundžić, Aleksandra Đukić

FOR PUBLISHER: Vladan Đokić

PUBLISHER: University of Belgrade – Faculty of Architecture

DESIGN: Stanislav Mirković

TECHNICAL SUPPORT: Jasna Marićević

PLACE AND YEAR: Belgrade 2016

ISBN: 978-86-7924-161-0

ORGANIZERS



University of Belgrade |
Faculty of Architecture

URBANLAB[®]
PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION URBAN LABORATORY

MAIN CONFERENCE SUPPORT



Република Србија
МИНИСТАРСТВО ПРОСВЕТЕ,
НАУКЕ И ТЕХНОЛОШКОГ РАЗВОЈА



ИНЖЕЊЕРСКА
КОМОРА
СРБИЈЕ



CONFERENCE SUPPORT

Arhi.pro



magna COOP - Pančevo
APSOLOTNO IZOLOVANO!
www.magnacoop.com office@magnacoop.com



www.insomnia.rs



PLACES AND TECHNOLOGIES 2016

KEEPING UP WITH TECHNOLOGIES TO CREATE COGNITIVE CITY
BY HIGHLIGHTING ITS SAFETY, SUSTAINABILITY, EFFICIENCY,
IMAGEABILITY AND LIVEABILITY

CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS OF THE 3RD INTERNATIONAL ACADEMIC CONFERENCE ON PLACES AND TECHNOLOGIES

CONFERENCE ORGANISERS

University of Belgrade – Faculty of Architecture and
Professional Association Urban Laboratory

ORGANIZING COMMITTEE

Founding members of the Organizing committee

Dr Eva Vaništa Lazarević

Conference Director, University of Belgrade, Faculty of Architecture, Belgrade, Serbia

Dr Milena Vukmirović

Conference Executive Coordinator, University of Belgrade, Faculty of Architecture and Urban
Laboratory, Belgrade, Serbia

Dr Aleksandra Krstić Furundžić

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Architecture, Belgrade, Serbia

Dr Aleksandra Đukić

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Architecture, Belgrade, Serbia

Associate members of the Organising committee

Jelena Samardžić

Faculty of Information Technology Belgrade Metropolitan University, Belgrade, Serbia

TECHNICAL COMMITTEE

Dr Milena Vukmirović

Conference Executive Coordinator, University of Belgrade, Faculty of Architecture and Urban
Laboratory, Belgrade, Serbia

Branislav Antonić

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Architecture, Belgrade, Serbia

SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE

In Alphabetical order

Dr Laura Aelenei,

National Energy and Geology Laboratory (LNEG), Lisbon, Portugal

Dr Ivan Aleksić,

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Department of Geodesy and Geoinformatics, Belgrade, Serbia

Dr Evangelina Athanassiou,

Aristotle University of Thessaloniki School of Architecture, Thessaloniki, Greece

Dr Milica Bajić Brković,

ISOCARP - The International Society of City and Regional Planners, The Hague, Netherlands

Dr Ljiljana Blagojević,

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Architecture, Belgrade, Serbia

Dr Ružica Božović Stamenović,

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Architecture, Belgrade, Serbia and National University of Singapore, Singapore

Dr Olja Čokorilo,

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Transport and Traffic Engineering, Belgrade, Serbia

Dr Grygor Doytchinov,

Institute for Urban Design, Technical University of Graz, Austria

Dr Nataša Danilović Hristić,

Urban Planning Institute of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

Dr Vladan Đokić,

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Architecture, Belgrade, Serbia

Dr Aleksandra Đukić,

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Architecture, Belgrade, Serbia

Dr Alenka Fikfak,

University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Architecture, Ljubljana, Slovenia

Dr Dejan Filipović,

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Geography, Belgrade, Serbia

Dr Darija Gajić,

University of Banja Luka, Faculty of Architecture and Civil Engineering, Banja Luka, Republic of Srpska, Bosnia and Herzegovina

Dr Bob Giddings,

Northumbria University, Faculty of Engineering and Environment, Newcastle, United Kingdom

Dr Jelena Ivanović Šekularac,

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Architecture, Belgrade, Serbia

Dr Vlatko Korobar,

St. Cyril and Methodius University, Faculty of Architecture, Skopje, FYR Macedonia

Dr Saja Kosanović,

University of Priština, Faculty of Technical Sciences, Department of Architecture,
Kosovska Mitrovica, Serbia

Dr Aleksandra Krstić-Furundžić,

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Architecture, Belgrade, Serbia

Dr Višnja Kukoč,

University of Split, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Architecture and Geodesy, Split, Croatia

Dr Piotr Lorens,

Gdansk University of Technology, Faculty of Architecture, Gdansk, Poland

Dr Lucia Martincigh,

University of Roma Tre, Faculty of Architecture, Rome, Italy

Prof. Ljubomir Mišević,

University of Zagreb, Faculty of Architecture, Zagreb, Croatia

Acad. Branislav Mitrović,

University of Belgrade - Faculty of Architecture, Belgrade, Serbia

Dr Juan Luis Rivas Navarro,

University of Granada, Department of Urban and Regional Planning, Granada, Spain

Dr Grzegorz Peczek,

Sopot University of Applied Science, Sopot, Poland

Dr Lea Petrović Krajnik,

University of Zagreb, Faculty of Architecture, Zagreb, Croatia

Dr Miroslava Raspopović,

Faculty of Information Technology, Belgrade Metropolitan University, Belgrade, Serbia

Dr Ralf Risser,

Research Institute FACTUM, Vienna, Austria

Dr Lina Seduikyte,

Kaunas University of Technology, Faculty of Civil Engineering and Architecture, Kaunas,
Lithuania

Manfred Schrenk,

CORP - Competence Center for Urban and Regional Planning, Vienna, Austria

Dr Jasmina Siljanoska,

St. Cyril and Methodius University, Faculty of Architecture, Skopje, FYR Macedonia

Dr Metka Sitar,

University of Maribor, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Traffic Engineering and Architecture, Maribor,
Slovenia

Dr Predrag Šidanin,

University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Technical Sciences, Novi Sad, Serbia

Dr Ljupko Šimunović,

University of Zagreb Faculty of Transport and Traffic Sciences, Zagreb, Croatia

Dr Stefan van der Spek,

Delft University of Technology, Faculty of Architecture and Built Environment, Delft, Netherlands

Dr Svetlana Stanarević,

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Security Studies, Belgrade, Serbia

Dr Milena Stavrić,

Graz University of Technology, Faculty of Architecture, Institute of Architecture and Media, Graz, Austria

Dr Aleksandra Stupar,

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Architecture, Belgrade, Serbia

Dr Eva Vaništa Lazarević,

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Architecture, Belgrade, Serbia

Dr Milena Vukmirović,

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Architecture and Urban Laboratory, Belgrade, Serbia

Dr Salih Yilmaz,

Izmir KatibCelebi University, Department of Engineering and Architecture, Izmir, Turkey

REGIONAL AND GUEST DEANS COMMITTEE

In Alphabetical order

Dr Bálint Bachmann,

University of Pécs, Pollack Mihály Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology, Pécs, Hungary

Dr Dženana Bijedić,

Vice-dean), University of Sarajevo, Faculty for Architecture, Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina

MSc Peter Gabrijelčič,

University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Architecture, Ljubljana, Slovenia

MSc Boris Koružnjak,

University of Zagreb, Faculty of Architecture, Zagreb, Croatia

Dr Florian Nepravishta,

(Department department), Polytechnic University of Tirana, Department of Architecture, Tirana, Albania

Dr Svetislav Popović,

University of Montenegro, Faculty of Architecture, Podgorica, Montenegro

Dr Milenko Stanković,

University of Banja Luka, Faculty of Architecture and Civil Engineering, Banja Luka, Republic of Srpska, Bosnia and Herzegovina

REVIEWERS

Dr Evangelina Athanassiou,

Aristotle University of Thessaloniki School of Architecture, Thessaloniki, Greece

Dr Ljiljana Blagojević,

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Architecture, Belgrade, Serbia

Dr Ružica Božović Stamenović,

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Architecture, Belgrade, Serbia and National University of Singapore, Singapore

Dr Olja Čokorilo,

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Transport and Traffic Engineering, Belgrade, Serbia

Dr Grygor Doytchinov,

Institute for Urban Design, Technical University of Graz, Austria

Dr Nataša Danilović Hristić,

Urban Planning Institute of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

Dr Aleksandra Đukić,

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Architecture, Belgrade, Serbia

Dr Alenka Fikfak,

University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Architecture, Ljubljana, Slovenia

Dr Darija Gajić,

University of Banja Luka, Faculty of Architecture and Civil Engineering, Banja Luka, Republic of Srpska, Bosnia and Herzegovina

Dr Bob Giddings,

Northumbria University, Faculty of Engineering and Environment, Newcastle, United Kingdom

Dr Jelena Ivanović Šekularac,

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Architecture, Belgrade, Serbia

Dr Vlatko Korobar,

St. Cyril and Methodius University, Faculty of Architecture, Skopje, FYR Macedonia

Dr Saja Kosanović,

University of Priština, Faculty of Technical Sciences, Department of Architecture, Kosovska Mitrovica, Serbia

Dr Aleksandra Krstić-Furundžić,

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Architecture, Belgrade, Serbia

Dr Višnja Kukoč,

University of Split, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Architecture and Geodesy, Split, Croatia

Dr Lucia Martincigh,

University of Roma Tre, Faculty of Architecture, Rome, Italy

Dr Juan Luis Rivas Navarro,

University of Granada, Department of Urban and Regional Planning, Granada, Spain

Dr Grzegorz Peczek,

Sopot University of Applied Science, Sopot, Poland

Dr Lea Petrović Krajnik,

University of Zagreb, Faculty of Architecture, Zagreb, Croatia

Dr Miroslava Raspopović,

Faculty of Information Technology, Belgrade Metropolitan University, Belgrade, Serbia

Dr Ralf Risser,

Research Institute FACTUM, Vienna, Austria

Dr Metka Sitar,

University of Maribor, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Traffic Engineering and Architecture, Maribor, Slovenia

Dr Predrag Šiđanin,

University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Technical Sciences, Novi Sad, Serbia

Dr Ljupko Šimunović,

University of Zagreb Faculty of Transport and Traffic Sciences, Zagreb, Croatia

Dr Stefan van der Spek,

Delft University of Technology, Faculty of Architecture and Built Environment, Delft, Netherlands

Dr Svetlana Stanarević,

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Security Studies, Belgrade, Serbia

Dr Aleksandra Stupar,

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Architecture, Belgrade, Serbia

Dr Eva Vaništa Lazarević,

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Architecture, Belgrade, Serbia

Dr Milena Vukmirović,

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Architecture and Urban Laboratory, Belgrade, Serbia

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ARCHITECTURAL TECHNOLOGIES I – ENERGY ISSUES

DETERMINATION OF ENERGY CHARACTERISTICS OF TRANSPARENT ELEMENTS OF ENVELOPE OF RESIDENTIAL BUILDINGS IN BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA Darija Gajić	3
ECO-ENERGETIC RECONSTRUCTION OF ARCHITECTURAL STRUCTURES BY APPLYING MODERN FACADE TECHNOLOGIES Olja Joksimović, Katarina Vukosavljević	11
MODERNIZATION OF EXISTING GLASS FACADES IN ORDER TO IMPLEMENT ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND MEDIA CONTENT Jasna Čikić Tovarović, Jelena Ivanović Šekularac, Nenad Šekularac	19
EFFECTS OF WINDOW REPLACEMENT ON ENERGY RENOVATION OF RESIDENTIAL BUILDINGS – CASE OF THE SERBIAN BUILDING PRACTICE Ana Radivojević, Aleksandar Rajčić, Ljiljana Đukanović	27
GREEN ROOF RETROFIT POTENTIAL IN A DENSELY POPULATED BELGRADE MUNICIPALITY Katarina Vukosavljević, Olja Joksimović, Stevan Vukadinović	35
ENERGY REFURBISHMENT OF PUBLIC BUILDINGS IN SERBIA Milica Jovanović Popović, Miloš Nedić, Ljiljana Djukanović	43
PROBLEM OF PROTECTION OF ORIGINAL APPEARANCE OF PREFABRICATED CONCRETE FACADES AND ENERGY IMPROVEMENT MEASURES – EXAMPLE OF NEW BELGRADE Nikola Macut, Ana Radivojević	51
SUNLIGHTING: A BRIGHT LIGHT SOURCE FOR MULTI-STORY BUILDING CORES Liliana Beltran	59

ARCHITECTURAL TECHNOLOGIES II - INNOVATIVE METHODS, SOFTWARE AND TOOLS

BIM AND GREEN BUILDING DESIGN: EXPECTATIONS, REALITY AND PERSPECTIVES Igor Svetel, Marko Jarić, Nikola Budimir	69
UNDER THE SKIN - DETERMINING ELECTRICAL APPLIANCES FROM SURFACE 3D SCANS Ulrich Krispel, Torsten Ullrich, Martin Tamke	77
ARCHITECTURAL DIAGRAM OF A CITY Olivera Dulić, Viktorija Aladžić	85
DIGITAL TOOLS - BASED PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF THE ADAPTIVE BUILDING ENVELOPE IN THE EARLY PHASE OF DESIGN Komnen Žižić, Aleksandra Krstić-Furundžić	93

INCREASING QUALITY OF PLACE BY USERS VALUE ORIENTATION Alenka Temeljotov Salaj, Svein Bjorberg, Nikolaj Salaj	101
COMFORT QUALITY IN THE ARCHITECTURAL TRANSFORMATION OF EXISTING FACILITIES Saša B. Čvoro, Malina B. Čvoro, Una Umićević	109
BUILDING STRUCTURES AND MATERIALS	
CONCEPTUAL STRUCTURAL DESIGN STRATEGIES FOR REDUCING ENERGY CONSUMPTION IN BUILDINGS Aleksandra Nenadović, Žikica Tekić	119
COMPARISON OF THE SUSTAINABILITY OF DIFFERENT TECHNIQUES FOR THE STRENGTHENING OF REINFORCED CONCRETE COLUMNS Tanya Chardakova, Marina Traykova	125
THE ARCHITECTURAL ASPECT OF DESIGNING THE OFFICE ENVIRONMENT IN THE MULTIFUNCTIONAL BUILDING IN THE CITY CENTRE Anna Rynkowska-Sachse	133
MITIGATE THE HOUSING DEPRIVATION IN THE INFORMAL CITIES: MODULAR, FLEXIBLE AND PREFAB HOUSES Frabrizio Finucci, Adolfo Barrata, Laura Calcagnini, Antonio Magaro, Ottavio Minnella, Juan Martin Piaggio	141
AN EXAMPLE OF USING RECYCLED CRUSHED CLAY BRICK AGGREGATE: A PREFABRICATED COMPOSITE FAÇADE PANEL WITH THE FACE OF STONE Tijana Vojinović Čalić, Dragica Jevtić, Aleksandra Krstić-Furundžić	149
CLIMATE CHANGE I – ENERGY ISSUES	
ENERGY MAP OF KRAGUJEVAC AS AN INTRODUCTION TO THE ANALYSIS OF NECESSARY INTERVENTION MEASURES ON BUILDINGS IN ORDER TO ADAPT TO CLIMATE CHANGE Iva Poskurica Glišović	159
THE IMPACT OF CLIMATE CHANGE ON THE ENERGY PERFORMANCE OF HISTORICAL BUILDINGS Alexandra Keller, Cristian Petrus, Marius Mosoarca	167
INFLUENCE OF DIFFERENT PAVEMENT MATERIALS ON WARMING UP OF PEDESTRIAN AREAS IN SUMMER SEASON Jelena Đekić, Petar Đekić, Milena Dinić Branković, Mihailo Mitković	175
ANALYSIS OF ELECTRICITY GENERATION RESULTS OF FIRST MINI SOLAR POWER PLANTS IN THE SOUTH OF SERBIA WITH VARYING INCLINATION OF PHOTOVOLTAIC PANELS AND DIFFERENT ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS Mihailo Mitković, Jelena Đekić, Petar Mitković, Milica Igić	183
EDUCATION NEEDS AND INFLUENTIAL FACTORS ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION IN FUNCTION OF SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT AT HIGHER EDUCATION INSTITUTIONS Marijola Božović, Milan Mišić, Zorica Bogićević, Danijela Zubac	191

BUILDING CLIMATE CHANGE II – STRATEGIES, PROTECTION AND FLOODS

EVALUATING THE CO-BENEFITS OF FLOOD MITIGATION MEASURE – A CASE STUDY OF SOUTHERN YUNLIN COUNTY IN TAIWAN Yi-Hsuan Lin	201
FLOODING RISK ASSESSMENT IN MOUNTAIN VILLAGES—A CASE STUDY OF KAOHSIUNG CITY Ting-Chi Hsu, Han-Liang Lin	209
SPATIAL PLANNING IN VIEW OF FLOOD PROTECTION-METHODOLOGICAL FRAMEWORK FOR THE BALCAN COUNTRIES Brankica Milojević	217
CLIMATE WARS AND REFUGEES: HUMAN SECURITY AS A PATHWAY TOWARDS THE POLITICAL? Thomas Schad	225
LOW-IMPACT DEVELOPMENT STRATEGIES ASSESSMENT FOR URBAN DESIGN Yu-Shan Lin, Han-Liang Lin	235

SUSTAINABLE COMMUNITIES AND PARTICIPATION I – PLANNING ISSUES

THE POSSIBILITIES OF SURVEY AS A METHOD TO COLLECT AND THE DERIVE MICRO-URBAN DATA ABOUT NEW COLLECTIVE HOUSING IN SERBIA Branislav Antić	247
POSITION OF THE SOCIAL HOUSING ACCORDING TO THE URBAN PLANNING REGULATION OF THE CITY OF NIS – DO THEY PROMOTE THE INCLUSION? Nataša Petković Grozdanović, Branislava Stoiljkovic, Goran Jovanović	255
INFLUENCE OF DIFFERENT APPROACHES IN DEVELOPMENT OF LOCAL RESIDENTIAL BUILDING TYPOLOGIES FOR ESTIMATION OF BUILDING STOCK ENERGY PERFORMANCE Milica Jovanović Popović, Dušan Ignjatović, Bojana Stanković	263
TOWARDS A LOW-CARBON FUTURE? CONSTRUCTION OF DWELLINGS AND ITS IMMEDIATE INFRASTRUCTURE IN CITY OF SPLIT Višnja Kukoč	271
SCENARIOS IN URBAN PLANNING AND THE MULTI-CRITERIA METHOD. A MEANINGFUL EXPERIENCE IN ITALY: PIANO IDEA IMPLEMENTED IN JESI AN,2004 Giovanni Sergi, Paolo Rosasco	279
THE PUBLIC INSIGHT AND INCLUSIVITY IN THE PLANNING PROCESS Nataša Danilović Hristić, Nebojša Stefanović	287
TOWARD THE SUSTAINABLE CITY – COMMUNITY AND CITIZENS INCLUSION IN URBAN PLANNING AND DESIGN OF URBAN GREEN SPACES: A REVIEW OF SKOPJE Divna Penčić, Snezhana Domazetovska, Stefanka Hadji Pecova	295

SUSTAINABLE COMMUNITIES AND PARTICIPATION II – CONCEPTS, METHODS AND COMMUNITY

HOW TO DEVELOP AND DESIGN HEALTHY URBAN ENVIRONMENT? Sanja Štimac, Anja Jutraž	305
SUSTAINABILITY AND BROWNFIELD REGENERATION Kristina Azarić	313
THE SOCIAL DIMENSION OF A SUSTAINABLE COMMUNITY: UNDERSTANDING OF THE EXISTING SPACE Silvia Grion, Elisabeth Antonaglia, Barbara Chiarelli	319
HOW TO UNDERSTAND THE GLOBAL PHENOMENON OF URBAN SHRINKAGE AT LOCAL LEVEL? COMPARISON OF URBAN AREAS IN ROMANIA AND SERBIA Mihai-Ionut Danciu, Branislav Antonić, Smaranda Maria Bica	327
SPATIAL PATTERNS OF SERBIAN MIGRANTS IN VIENNA AND IN THE SETTLEMENTS OF THEIR ORIGIN IN EASTERN SERBIA Branislav Antonić, Tamara Brajović	335
KEEPING THE CITY LIVEABLE FOR INHABITANTS AND EFFICIENT FOR TOURISTS: THE PILGRIMAGE ROUTES Lucia Martincigh, Renata Bizzotto, Raffaella Seghetti, Marina Di Gauda, Giovanni Perrucci	347
ENVIRONMENTAL PROBLEMS AND CITIZEN PARTICIPATION IN MEDIUM-SIZED TOWNS OF SERBIA Anđelka Mirkov	355
URBAN PROBLEMS OF HILLY AND MOUNTAINOUS RURAL SETTLEMENTS IN NIŠ MUNICIPALITY Milica Igić, Petar Mitković, Jelena Đekić, Milena Dinić Branković	361

IMAGE, IDENTITY AND QUALITY OF PLACE I – PLANNING ISSUES

THE STRATEGIES OF PLACE-MAKING. SOME ASPECTS OF MANIFESTATIONS OF POSTMODERN IDEAS IN LITHUANIAN ARCHITECTURE Martynas Mankus	373
DESIGNING CENTERS OF SUBURBAN SETTLEMENTS IN THE POST-SOCIALIST CITY – NIŠ CASE STUDY Milena Dinić Branković, Jelena Đekić, Petar Mitković, Milica Igić	381
TRANSITION AND THE CITY: TRANSFORMATION OF URBAN STRUCTURE DURING THE POST-SOCIALIST PERIOD Dejana Nedučin, Milena Krklješ	389
POST INDUSTRIAL CITIES: CREATIVE PLAY - FAST FORWARD BELGRADE 2016 Eva Vaništa Lazarević, Marija Cvetković, Uroš Stojadinović	395
THE FUTURE OF OLD INDUSTRIAL AREAS - SUSTAINABLE APPROACH Anica Tufegdžić, Maria Siladji	405

CREATING IDENTITY AND CHARACTER OF NEW SETTLEMENT FORMED DUE TO GROWTH OF THE CITY- ON THE EXAMPLE OF PODGORICA Ema Alihodžić Jašarović, Edin Jašarović	413
SPINUT-POLJUD RESIDENTIAL AREA IN SPLIT, CROATIA Vesna Perković Jović	421
IMAGE, IDENTITY AND QUALITY OF ZAPRUĐE HOUSING DEVELOPMENT IN NOVI ZAGREB Ivan Milnar, Lea Petrović Krajnik, Damir Krajnik	429
URBAN IDENTITY OF BORDER SPACES. CONSTRUCTING A PLACE IN THE BORDER CROSSING BETWEEN SPAIN AND MOROCCO IN CEUTA Belen Bravo Rodriguez, Juan Luis Rivas Navarro, Alicia Jiménez Jiménez	435
ZEITGEIST & GENIUS LOCI: TRADE VALUE AESTHETIC AND WEAKNESS OF AUTHOR'S IDENTITY IN RECENT SERBIAN ARCHITECTURE Aleksandar Kadijević	445
 IMAGE, IDENTITY AND QUALITY OF PLACE II – PUBLIC SPACES	
PRESERVING PLACE MEANING IN FUNCTION OF TRANSFORMATION OF OPEN PUBLIC SPACES Ana Špirić, Sanja Trivić	455
STREET LIFE DIVERSITY AND PLANNING THE URBAN ENVIRONMENT. COMPARATIVE STUDY OF SOFIA AND MELBOURNE Silvia Chakarova	463
TRANSFORMATIONS AND PERMANENCE OF REPUBLIC SQUARE Stefan Škorić, Milena Krklješ, Dijana Brkljač, Aleksandra Milinković	473
THE IMAGE OF THE CITY VS. SEMI-PUBLIC SPACES OF SHOPPING MALLS: CASE STUDY OF BELGRADE Marija Cvetković, Eva Vaništa Lazarević	481
THE MARKET HALL OF PÉCS Balazs Kokas, Hutter Ákos, Veres Gábor, Engert Andrea, Greg András, Sike Ildikó, Alexandra Pető	489
INNOVATIVE PUBLIC SPACE REHABILITATION MODELS TO CREATE CONDITIONS FOR COGNITIVE - CULTURAL URBAN ECONOMY IN THE AGE OF MASS INDIVIDUALISATION Katarzyna Bartoszewicz, Piotr Lorens	497
ILLUMINATION OF FACADES OF PUBLIC BUILDINGS IN NOVI SAD AND ITS IMPACT ON SPATIAL PERCEPTION Dijana Brkljač, Milena Krklješ, Aleksandra Milinković, Stefan Škorić	507
COGNITIVE PERFORMANCES OF PEDESTRIAN SPACES Milena Vukmirović, Branislav Folić	515

IMAGE, IDENTITY AND QUALITY OF PLACE III – CONCEPT, METHODS, EDUCATION

THE CRIMINAL CITY: URBAN RESET AFTER "COLECTIV" Agelica Stan	527
TOWARD THE ULTIMATE SHAPE-SHIFTER: TESTING THE OMNIPOTENCE OF DIGITAL CITY Aleksandra Stupar, Tatjana Mrđenović	535
MANAGEMENT OF URBAN IMAGE AS A TOOL FOR PLANNING. THE CASE OF THESSALONIKI Kleoniki Gkioufi, Eleni Gavra	541
VISIBLE AND INVISIBLE PROCESSES AND FLOWS OF TIME-SPACE OF ARCHITECTURAL AND URBAN CONTINUITY OF THE CITY Velimir Stojanović	549
FORMS OF CONTINUITY IN ARCHITECTURAL SPACE Petar Cigić, Milena Kordić	555
URBAN DESIGN EDUCATION FOR PLACEMAKING: BETWEEN COGNITION AND EMOTION Jelena Živković, Zoran Đukanović, Uroš Radosavljević	565
SKETCHBOOK AS AN ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN INSTRUMENT OF THE COGNITIVE CREATION PROCESS FOR THE QUALITY OF PLACE Igor Rajković, Uroš Radosavljević, Ana Zorić	573
THE MUSICALITY OF UNDULATING GLASS PANES IN THE CONVENT OF LA TOURETTE Marko Slaviček, Anja Kostanjšak	581
THE ROUTES OF DIGITALIZATION – FROM REAL TO VIRTUAL CITY AND VICE VERSA Miodrag Ralević, Tatjana Mrđenović	587
RESILIENCE OF PLACES	
A SHRED OF PLACE IN A DIGITAL ERA HUMANITARIAN DISASTER Pavlos Lefas, Nora Lefa	599
URBAN SPACES MORPHOLOGY AND MICROCLIMATE CONDITIONS: A STUDY FOR A TYPICAL DISTRICT IN THESSALONIKI Stella Tsoka, Katerina Tsikaloudaki, Theodoros Theodosiou	605
SPONTANEOUS DEVELOPMENT AND RESILIENCE PLACES – A CASE STUDY OF ELECTRONIC INDUSTRY NIS (SERBIA) Liljana Jevremović, Branko Turnsek, Aleksandar Milojkovic, Milanka Vasic, Marina Jordanovic	613
SUSTAINABLE MODEL FOR REGIONAL HOSPITALS IN HUMID TROPICAL CLIMATE Nataša Čuković Ignjatović, Dušan Ignjatović, Dejan Vasović	621

MATERIAL AND COGNITIVE STRUCTURES OF BUILDINGS AND PLACES AS INTEGRATED PATTERNS OF PAST, PRESENT AND FUTURE Dženana Bijedić, Rada Cahtarevic, Mevludin Zecević, Senaida Halilović	627
BOOSTING THE RESILIENCE OF THE HEALTHCARE SYSTEM IN BELGRADE: THE ROLE OF ICT NETWORKS Jelena Marić, Aleksandra Stupar	635
INTERCONNECTION OF ARCHITECTURE AND NEUROSCIENCE - RESHAPING OUR BRAINS THROUGH PHYSICAL STRUCTURES Morana Pap, Mislav Pap, Mia Pap	645
THE POTENTIAL OF URBAN AGRICULTURE IN REVITALIZATION OF A METROPOLIS Gabriela Rembarz	651
ADAPTIVE REUSE	
IMPROVING STRATEGIES FOR FUNCTIONAL UPGRADE FOR AN "INTEGRATED REHABILITATION" Francesca Guidolin	661
ADAPTIVE REUSE AND SOCIAL SUSTAINABILITY IN THE REGENERATION PROCESSES OF INDUSTRIAL HERITAGE SITES Sonja Ifko, Ana Martinović	669
REVEALING THE MONTENEGRIN KATUN AS A PLACE OF REUSABLE COGNITIVE TECHNOLOGIES Edin Jašarović, Ema Alihodžić Jašarović	683
INTERSECTIONS OF NOW AND THEN; IMPLEMENTATION OF ADAPTIVE REUSE AS CATALYST OF SPACE TRANSFORMATION Anja Kostanjšak, Nikola Filipovic	691
MULTIFAMILY HOUSING IN BELGRADE – ENERGY PERFORMANCE IMPROVING POTENTIAL AND ARCHITECTURAL CHALLENGES Nataša Ćuković Ignjatović, Dusan Ignjatovic, Bojana Stankovic	699
SPATIAL STRUCTURE OF THE SUBURBAN ZONES IN SELECTED ENTREPRENEURSHIPS NESTS OF THE TRICITY METROPOLITAN AREA Grzegorz Pęczek, Justyna Martyniuk-Pęczek	707
INNOVATIVE METHODS AND APPLICATIONS FOR SMART(ER) CITIES	
TECHNOLOGY AS A MEDIATOR BETWEEN MAN AND CITY IN THE CONTEXT OF CONTEMPORARY CHALLENGES Katarina Stojanović	725
CITY INTELLIGENCE INFORMATION MODELING Alice Pasquinelli, Silvia Mastrolembro, Franco Guzzeti, Angelo Ciribini	731
AN INTRODUCTION TO THE PHYSICAL PLANNING INFORMATION SYSTEM OF CROATIA AND NEW GENERATION OF SPATIAL PLANS Sunčana Habrun, Lidija Škec, Danijel Meštrić	739

THE CONCEPT OF SMART ARCHITECTURE IN SERBIA – ONE BELGRADE EXPERIENCE Dragan Marčetić, Andrej Josifovski	747
THE IDEA OF COGNITIVE CITY - A CHALLENGE FOR NEW TECHNOLOGY TO PROMOTE HEALTH Aleksandra Krstić Furundžić, Nikola Z. Furundzić, Dijana P. Furundzić	755
MIXED REALITY ENVIRONMENT AND OPEN PUBLIC SPACE DESIGN Aleksandra Đukić, Dubravko Aleksić	761
VULNERABILITY OF PUBLIC SPACE AND THE ROLE OF SOCIAL NETWORKS IN THE CRISIS Milena Vukmirović, Miroslava Raspopović	769
NEUTRAL GROUNDING POINTS WITHIN THE GENERAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM AS AN ELEMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION Zorica Bogičević, Slobodan Bjelić, Bojan Jovanović, Milan Misic	779
THE ROLE OF COGNITIVE – CULTURAL ECONOMY IN CITY’S GLOBAL POSITIONING Sanja Simeunčević Radulović, Biserka Mitrović	789
URBAN MOBILITY, TRANSPORT AND TRAFFIC SOLUTIONS	
THE CONTRIBUTION OF ITS TO THE SAFETY IMPROVEMENT OF VULNERABLE ROAD USERS Bia Mandžuka, Ljupko Šimunović, Pero Škorput	799
BUILDING ENVIRONMENTAL PERSPECTIVE OF AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS AROUND BELGRADE NIKOLA TESLA AIRPORT Olja Čokorilo, Ivana Čavka	805
TRANSPORT PROJECTS AND PUBLIC PARTICIPATION Davor Brčić, Stjepan Kelcec-Suhovec	813
DISLOCATION OF THE EXISTING RAILWAY AND BUS STATION IN THE CITY OF KUMANOVO AND THEIR INTEGRATION INTO A TRANSPORT HUB WITH ADJOINING CONTENTS Mihajlo Zinoski, Medarski Igor, Stefani Solarska	817
THE IMPACTS OF TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURES ON URBAN GEOGRAPHY Federico Andrea Innarone	825
LIQUID LIFE: A RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN VULNERABILITY AND MOBILITY – THE CONSEQUENCES FOR A SUSTAINABLE CITY, StevanTatalović	831

MIXED REALITY ENVIRONMENT AND OPEN PUBLIC SPACE DESIGN

Aleksandra Djukić¹

Faculty of Architecture, University of Belgrade, Bulevar Kralja Aleksandra 73/2, Belgrade, Serbia,
adjukic@afrodita.rcub.bg.ac.rs

Dubravko Aleksić

Faculty of Architecture, Civil Engineering and Geodesy, University of Banja Luka,
Stepe Stepanovića 77/II, Banja Luka, Republic of Srpska, Bosnia and Herzegovina,
aleksicdubravko@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The text explores potentials of use of mixed reality in urban design, specifically in public open space design. Research presents the model that uses mixed reality environment, not solely as part of a process of computer aided design, but also as architecture design result or architecture itself. Model describes the design process of mixed reality open public space, a space in which user's perception is artificially modified so the user can see and use both real and virtual environments.

Mixed reality open public space can dynamically change appearance of its spatial elements and allow open public space to be the product of user centred design. Such spaces are made to be used in a way users wish or need to employ them instead of making users change their behaviour in order to adjust to them.

Keywords: mixed reality, urban design, user centred design, quantum architecture, constructor theory

INTRODUCTION

ICT development in cities providing and assuring low-cost Internet access at homes, offices and open public spaces, is an indicator of development and well-being of their citizens. Relationship between ICT, community and cities has been continuously analysed and elaborated emphasizing emerging topics. Furthermore, the role of digital networks and flows has been recognized in many fields of urban reality, urban planning and urban design (Pigg, 2001), supporting sustainable social, cultural and economic development of cities and their spaces.

Nowadays, people interact in both physical and virtual realm, gathering formally or informally in order to exchange information and knowledge, disseminate practice and experiences, or erase different kinds of limitations (Stupar, Djukic, 2014). Furthermore, they become interactive participants in processes of collaborative planning and designing of the place. While the main role of open public spaces is to provide social contacts between people, thus remaining the place where they can rest, recreate and enjoy the environment, e-networks have opened additional channels of communication and diffusion and became a new tool for its continuous development

¹ Corresponding author

(Stupar, Djukic, 2014). Users acting as consumers of places can participate as active contributors in the process of urban design or critics of open urban space using ICT.

Presented research shows how constructor theory, embedded in architecture and urban design processes, can increase and improve users' participation in the personal and public space design, which is achieved by simultaneous designing of both real and virtual environments on the same territory. With constructor theory, a new design methodology could be created so that a real space could be mixed with numerous layers of virtual space. A constructor enables incessant upgrade of environmental potential without losing original space potential (Aleksic, 2015).

MIXED REALITIES

Material and virtual spaces have always been inextricably linked (Firmino and Duarte, 2010; Graham et al., 2012; Nagenborg et al., 2010). Mixed realities are the new layer of space that replaces or merges with prevailing physical world. It is the space where the real physical objects and objects from virtual world are presented as common and unique experience. Its background philosophy supports the argument that virtual in interaction with physical and everyday human activities enriches the perception, potentials and activities in human life.

There are several reality domains that scale from the real to the virtual. There is the real reality, as a material physical space. There is also amplified reality that enriches the properties of physical objects. Augmented reality is providing additional virtual form based information about physical structures. Mediated reality is presenting space within human perception and is modified in order to deliberately diminish the user's perception of reality. Augmented virtuality presents the augmentation of virtual environment with real objects. It is registered in three-dimensions, real-time and it is interactive (Azuma, 1997; Aurel 2009). There is virtualised reality as a three dimensional virtual model of a real space that enables seeing the space from any angle. Yet again, there is virtuality as a completely virtual environment.

In architecture and urban design, domain of virtualised reality is used as a computer aided design for future, solely physical resulting world. The domain of augmented reality is used for obtaining additional information about physical objects. For the model presented in this research, the acceptable domain would be augmented and mainly mediated reality.

DIGITAL URBAN PUBLIC SPACE

The present urban sphere is increasingly changing by the presence of digital media and technology. Physical city spaces are constantly merged with various virtual processes that are significantly changing the conditions for future urban design processes. Digital and coded information significantly influence everyday urban life and experience. Digital urban space contains the physical space as its basic element. Aspects of digital are in fact an upgrade or expansion of physical sphere. This extension gives new meaning to the physical space. It impacts the people in terms of how the use of space and also provides the greater freedom of choice with backward influence on the physical form.

Physical Sphere of Open Public Space Design

Design of open urban space generally comes as a result of an architect's interpretation of users' wishes and needs. Also, an urban space is designed based on previous designing experience, by coming to some general conclusions about a man and his needs. Constant upgrade of the relationship between a user and his surroundings and a higher level of participation is necessary for a lively, attractive and quality urban space (Stupar, Djukic, 2015).

Almost all studies of urban public space are focusing on its physical sphere, and open public space is mostly used for different types of physical social interactions and activities that can be

seen as necessary, optional and social. Necessary activity is conducted on daily basis and implies activities that do not include leisure or free time. Optional activities include more or less random or common activities on streets, squares, parks etc. Social activities involve any line of communication between urban space users. Social activities are intertwined throughout the both necessary and optional activities and, in solely physical public spaces, they require physical presence of other people. The open public spaces serve as nexuses around which communities can gather (Carr, Francis, Rivlin, & Stone, 1992; Kent, 2000). The high proportion of public space as well as a good networking available in a city has been associated with an elevated quality of life for the city's inhabitants (Brown, 2009). It is also important to mention that high quality of physical environment includes larger amount of optional activities (Gehl, 2010; Hillier, 1996). Presence of high level optional activities is consequently improving social activities as well. Also, optional activities are offering more opportunities for users.

User and Cognition in Digital Urban Public Space

There are two prevailing scenarios of what will emerge as a result of digital media enrolment in open public spaces. The first one is dystopian, that will lead publics into more commercial, fragmented and estranged public environment. The second one is positivistic, and predicts emergence of new types of public space and new public role in a city (de Wall, 2014). A great thing about both scenarios is that choice of the option and in the extent in which it is about to come to life is going to be decided by the user. In digital world users have more choices of how to live than it is the case in purely physical environment. Thus, citizens with their knowledge and way of space usage determine the space itself.

In order to use the digital media, one must grasp digital rules and must understand the space he is using. Knowledge must be significantly higher than when one is using non digital environment. In digital world user is faced with a lot of choices that eventually start processes inside its environment and in fact make its environment. Thus, user's actions driven by its cognition are beginning to appear as central factor for space definition. Model presented in this paper is guided by idea to create space that will, by its self-development and upgrading, eventually mirror the user i.e. its cognition - fully user centre designed environment.

MIXED REALITY ENVIRONMENTS AND OPEN PUBLIC SPACE DESIGN

Since digital technologies for urban space are not yet fully incorporated in city life of every day users, there is a lot of space for creation of various models for design and discussion about possible outcomes of digital media involvement in urban sphere. This paragraph and the research in general, present open public space model of digital urban space that involves mixed environments as a main tool for design process definition.

Virtual and mixed environments in architecture are mostly used as a tool for realistic preview of a physical structure or an open space. Also, digital networks are used as system for data collection and interpretation of design concept development such as space syntax. Nevertheless, virtuality that is upgraded with mixed realities environments interlaced with digital networks and its supporting technologies have a greater potential than just being a credible information collector or representation and simulation for a future built environments.

This research is attempting to expand a role of mixed environments and digital world into open urban space. Public sphere merged with mixed reality environments can easily consist more than static virtual and real surroundings. Virtual spaces can be changeable, responsive and user canter animations that fit involved citizen's needs and choices. Virtual space can include live recording of distanced spaces and in such way virtuality can connect users from various locations on the planet via physical public sphere and on the internet as well. Such spaces, by its representation defy the law of physics and, by its flexibility and changeability potentials, can enable presentation of almost any user's visual and audio need or unusual wish. Mixed environments enable

surroundings that involve active citizen participation, almost or completely equal as the participation in the real physical space. In such surroundings, users can have a prevailing role in shaping of their environment.

Design System Theory: Quantum Architecture and Constructor

Main theory that backs up the basic for user adjusted mixed environment space is found in constructor theory of David Deutch and in principles of quantum architecture presented by John Lobell. Through decomposition of constructor elements, by means of mixed environment supporting technology, it is possible to create methodological frame for design of space that corresponds to principles of quantum architecture.

Quantum architecture is a space that exists only if it is being observed or turned on by the user. It is a space perceived by a user as both virtual and real, whereas multiple architectures can be perceived and exist at exact the same coordinates at the same time. It is the space that can transform itself through different parallel dimensions when used repeatedly. It is an environment that can consist of different spaces active and/or inactive through parallel timelines and key frames. It is where each new user's experience in space is being used for development of spatial potentials for new parallel spatial dimensions. It is there that it is possible to walk on all paths inside one physically attached territory at the same time, and even to be at the two physically distanced places simultaneously. Each architecture reuse represents a new potential and development for new spatial dimension, where form can memorize user's experience and connect it with all other connected forms within any networked territory or event horizon (Flachbart, 2005; Lobell, 2003; Arida, 2002).

The constructor theory research is aiming to present spatial theories in context of possible and impossible transformations, regarding transformations that can and cannot happen. Constructor consists of input data, a programmable constructor and the program that runs it, and output data or temporary results. Programmable constructor is made of possible and impossible transformations, while the program manages transformations (Deutch, 2013).

Constructor is phenomenon that has ability to cause physical system transformation without reducing the original transforming ability (Deutch, 2013). In order to exercise constructor theory in sphere of mixed environments, it is necessary to slightly reconfigure the definition so that transformations can be not only material physical, but mixed as well, meaning that possible transformations could also have a virtual form.

Design Elements of Mixed Environment Open Public Space

Design elements consist of constructor's impossible transformation as a physical form, possible transformations as a virtual and changeable spatial layer and program that defines the relationship between transformations. Additional elements are: physical area or event horizon within which program operates the transformations and activities inside the open public space, input and output state that presents mapped information and resulting temporary manifestation of form/architecture and the matrix that defines the position of possible and impossible transformations. Also, all spatial options of possible transformations exist at the same time and can be experienced by different users at once. This applies to 'and/or' logic of post-Boolean principle (Aleksic, 2015).

When a user inhabits a single spatial option, that option is connected with all other options currently used by other users in the same event horizon. Thus, the connection is inevitable and controlled by the program. The experience of each user in the event horizon is recorded in accordance with the criteria by a 'seeing machine' and connected with all spatial elements, possible and impossible transformations, inside the same event horizon. Without the

simultaneous connection between all active possible transformations, merged with impossible transformations, function inside event horizon would not be possible (Aleksic, 2015).

Design Process Model for Mixed Environment Open Public Space

General model for use of constructor theory in architecture and urban design is presented through self-regulative and generative system. It consists of all presented design elements set in certain order which enables functioning of the required system. The essence of the system consists of the element presented through the constructor theory: input and output state and programmable constructor with impossible and possible transformations. Auxiliary structure is made of 'process-like' elements that make constructor alive. In order to explain how this system is functioning four layer or levels, with addition of fifth layer, are presented in a repetitive life cycle of the system. All layers are merged into one inseparable algorithm system, and their division is made solely for explanation purpose.

First layer is the input state. Its role refers to selection of information based on designed criteria. Each information or information group are intended for triggering certain behaviours or actions of possible transformations. Information selection domain is within the event horizons. The second layer is the programmable constructor that consists of the program and possible and impossible transformations. This layer is making the architecture or open public space functional, i.e. when a user interacts with the space and turns on one of the possible transformation options. All complexity of model lays in structure of the relationship between possible and impossible transformations and users. This relationship can change in every following cycle. Its ability to change is allowing the users to apply their knowledge and manner of space usage as well as to determine the space itself. Third layer is the output state: the information about resulting space. Its role is to map users' spatial selection option and its behaviour within the selected space inside the event horizon. All information gathered in this step, such as: real form of impossible transformations, changeable or virtual form of possible transformations, behaviour of users within the space together with mapping and analysis of that behaviour, are actually the architecture of open public space, the resulting architecture of the constructor's output state. Space created in this manner goes beyond the form and function, and it can involve all of the processes that make up the form and function of the architecture too. Layer four and five are making the architecture, created out of elements and principles of constructor theory, being generative and alive. After the third step is finished or even while it still lasts, a new design process is starting. The fourth step is evaluating everything that happened in previous steps. Analysis can result in creation or selection of new sets of criteria, i.e. designing and adding new spatial options to possible transformations, or designating potential new areas of the event horizon followed by a matrix redesign that can include creation and determination of new or different, non-changeable structures or impossible transformations. It's mandatory, completely new design upgrade, based on the previous users' experience gained in steps one, two and three (Aleksic, 2015).

Elements of the constructor theory, using the presented layers, make a circular system with self-regulating intentions. Since the system is pre-designed to upgrade and adjust itself throughout the cycle, the overall process can be seen as iterative. The model is further presented with five iteration design steps. The first one is determination of event horizon three dimensional borders. The second one is matrix design with purpose to separate space of possible and impossible transformation. The third step is mapping of buildings of impossible transformations and/or building of new impossible transformations structures. The fourth step is new matrix redesign to define the area for various spatial options within possible transformation territory. The fifth step is the program design.

Open Public Space Upgrade: User Centred Design

New spatial layers of mixed environment, specifically augmented and mediated reality, are additional optional activities in open public space, with the potential to continuously upgrade the social activities in urban sphere. Social activities improvement potential is further more increasing when two or more physically distanced territories (event horizons) are overlapping into one space which enables users from different parts of the world to socially interact in mutual mixed environment.

There are various ways of how user can interact with presented mixed environment model of open public space. Each one is involving active or passive interaction where user is directly choosing the options of virtual layers' options of possible transformations or where layers are being assigned to user by program that runs the space. Either way, user must understand and perceive the mixed environment open public space in order to use it with the level of knowledge that is higher than when he is using only physical environment.

In each stage of design process or in each iteration circle of life span of mixed environment open public space model presented in the paper, user is involved as the space creator or the one choosing his own space. The whole system is designed to make the user the central element of public space design. User-centred design is a process in which end-users influence what shape the design takes. They can be involved in design process in different ways. Furthermore, user-centred design is based on the physical and psychological needs of the human user. Considerable attention is given to user's wants and needs throughout ever-present design process. The extent of users' satisfaction with the environment they reside in, depends entirely on the spatial option type and ways of the allocation of options. Spatial option types and methods of assigning them to users represent the quality of the architecture and thus open public space upgraded with mixed environments.

CONCLUSIONS

The role of user centred design addressing public user needs in activating open public spaces is a relatively covered under research area of urban design as a discipline and it is not enough explored. Moreover, research and review of literature indicate that the needs and desires of public space users are often unpredictable, overshadowed by the implementation of a macro-level design approach, complicated by the different goals of various stakeholders and the large-scale logistical and political complications involved with developing public spaces.

Human needs are dynamic and demanding in the way that individuals will continue to reach for better, more enjoyable and more meaningful situations (Utterback, Vedin & Alvarez, 2006). This demands re-making of spatial experience and interactions with the space and place as well as the constant changing of the open public space over a course of time. In order to achieve better design for open public spaces that appeals to user's functional, emotional, and social comfort and constant changing of the needs and experiences, Pilloton (2009) it is suggested that urban designers must reorient public priorities to deliver value to design solutions that fit users' needs.

REFERENCES

- Aleksic, Dubravko. 2015. "Potential Use of Constructor Theory in Architecture and Urban Design". In *CTTA 8th Annual Conference on Planning Research: Becoming Local: Generative Places, Smart Approaches, Happy People*, Porto, 2015.
- Arida, Ayssar. 2002. *Quantum City*. Oxford: Architectural Press.
- Aurel Schnabel, Marc. 2009. "Framing Mixed Realities." In *Mixed Reality in Architecture, Design and Construction*. edited by Xiangyu Wang and Marc Aurel Schnabel, 3-15, Sydney: Springer.
- Azuma, Ronald. T. 1997. "A survey of augmented reality". *Presence*, Volume 6, Issue 4, pp. 355-385.

- Brown, Tim. 2009. *Change by design: How design thinking transforms organizations and inspires innovation*. New York, N.Y.: Harper Collins.
- Carr, Stephen; Francis, Mark; Rivlin, Leanne G.; Stone, Andrew M. 1993. *Public Space: Environment and Behaviour*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Deutch, David. 2013. "Constructor theory". *Synthesis* 190(18), pp. 4331-4359.
- de Wall, Martijn. 2014. *The City as Interface: How New Media are Changing the City*. Rotterdam: Distributed Art Pub Incorporated.
- Firmino Rodrigo; Duarto, Fabio. 2010. "Manifestations and implications of an augmented urban life". *International Review of Information Ethics* 12:28-35.
- Flachbart, George. 2005. "Disappearing Architecture: From Real To Virtual To Quantum." In *Disappearing Architecture: From Real To Virtual To Quantum*, edited by Georg Flachbart and Peter Weibel, pp. 266-271. Basel: Birkhäuser.
- Gehl, Jan. 2010. *Cities for People*. Washington: Island Press
- Graham, Mark, Zook, Mathew, Boulton, Andrew. 2012. "Augmented reality in urban places: contested content and the duplicity of code". *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers*, Vol 37, Issue 4, pp. 165-185.
- Hillier, Bill. 1996. *Space is the Machine: A Configurational Theory of Architecture*. Cambridge University Press.
- Kent, Fred. 2000. *How to turn a place around: A handbook for creating successful public spaces*. New York, N.Y.: Project for Public Spaces.
- Lobell, John. 2003. *Quantum Theoretical Issues in Architecture: It's A Lot Stranger Than We Think. Tarp Architecture Manual*, New York: Pratt Institute
- Nagenborg, Michael; Albrechtslund, Anders; Klamt, Martin; Murakami Wood, David. 2010. 'On "ICT & the City"'. *International Review of Information Ethics*, vol 3, pp. 2-6.
- Pigg, Kenneth. 2001. "Applications for community informatics for building community and enhancing civic society". *Informatics, Communications & Society*, Volume 4, Issue 4, pp. 507-527.
- Pilloton, Emily. 2009. *Design revolution: 1000 products that empower people*. New York, N.Y.: Metropolis Books.
- Stupar, Aleksandra, Djukic, Aleksandra. 2015. "Vis-à-vis Communication? The Digital and Physical Spaces of Interaction in the Contemporary City". In *REAL CORP Conference Proceedings*, edited by: Manfred Schrenk, Vasily V. Popovich, Peter Zeile, Pietro Elisei, Gdynia, Poland.
- Utterback, James, Vedin, Bengt-Arne, Alvarez, Eduardo, Ekman, Sten, Sanderson, Susan Walsh, Tether, Bruce & Vergati, Roberto. 2006. *Design-inspired innovation*. Hackensack, New Jersey: World Scientific.