

REAL CORP 2011

16th International Conference on Urban Planning, Regional Development and Information Society

Manfred SCHRENK, Vasily V. POPOVICH, Peter ZEILE (Eds.)

CHANGE FOR STABILITY LIFECYCLES OF CITIES AND REGIONS

The Role and Possibilities of Foresighted Planning in Transformation Processes



PROCEEDINGS TAGUNGSBAND

18-20 May 2011

SANAA Building, Zollverein World Heritage Site
Essen, Germany

REAL CORP 2011 is organised and supported by



CEIT ALANOVA
Institute of Urbanism,
Transport, Environment
and Information Society

Ministerium für Wirtschaft, Energie,
Bauen, Wohnen und Verkehr
des Landes Nordrhein-Westfalen




CORP

Kompetenzzentrum für
Stadtplanung und Regionalentwicklung



MAI
Metropolitan
Architecture and
Information Society



(Courtesy of Folkwang University of the Arts)

www.corp.at

ISBN 978-3-9503110-0-6 (CD-ROM)
ISBN 978-3-9503110-1-3 (PRINT)

**REAL CORP 2011. Change for Stability – Lifecycles of Cities and Regions.
The Role and Possibilities of Foresighted Planning in Transformation Processes**

Proceedings of

16th International Conference on Urban Planning, Regional Development and Information Society

Beiträge zur

16. internationalen Konferenz zu Stadtplanung, Regionalentwicklung und Informationsgesellschaft

Edited by

Manfred SCHRENK, Vasily V. POPOVICH, Peter ZEILE

Schwechat, 2011

CD-ROM-Edition ISBN: 978-3-9503110-0-6

Print-Edition ISBN: 978-3-9503110-1-3

Im Selbstverlag des Vereins

CORP – Competence Center of Urban and Regional Planning

Kompetenzzentrum für Stadtplanung und Regionalentwicklung

Lechergasse 4, A-2320 Schwechat-Rannersdorf

office@corp.at, <http://www.corp.at>

REAL CORP 2011

TEAM

Manfred SCHRENK
Clemens BEYER
Linda DÖRRZAPF
Christian EIZINGER
Patrick KREJCI
Julia NEUSCHMID
Daniela PATTI
Wolfgang WASSERBURGER
Adrián BARCIA

All rights reserved. – Alle Rechte vorbehalten.

Editors– Herausgeber:

DI Manfred SCHRENK, Lechergasse 4, A-2320 Schwechat-Rannersdorf, Austria

Prof. Dr. Vasily V. POPOVICH, SPIIRAS, St. Petersburg, Russia

Dr. Peter ZEILE, TU Kaiserslautern, Kaiserslautern, Germany

Publisher – Medieninhaber und Verleger:

CORP – Competence Center of Urban and Regional Planning

Kompetenzzentrum für Stadtplanung und Regionalentwicklung

Lechergasse 4, A-2320 Schwechat-Rannersdorf

office@corp.at, <http://www.corp.at>

CD-ROM Edition: ISBN 978-3-9503110-0-6

Print Edition ISBN 978-3-9503110-1-3

Contributions by the authors reflect their own findings, views and opinions which may not necessarily be consistent with the views and opinions of the editors.

Die Arbeiten geben die Erkenntnisse und Ansichten des jeweiligen Autors wieder und müssen nicht mit den Ansichten der Herausgeber übereinstimmen.

Table of Contents – Inhaltsverzeichnis

PREFACE	15
Manfred SCHRENK,	15
GRUSSWORTE	17
Anne Katrin BOHLE.....	17
Making Politics	21
Henk WJ Ovink.....	21
A ‘CUT’ Perspective on ‘New’ Technologies for Improved Municipal Asset Management in Urban Ethiopia	25
Tendayi Gondo.....	25
A Vision of Sustainability, or Spatial Chaos? Polish Spatial Planning and Arrangement Policy Dilemmas in Contemporary Theory, Legislation and Practice	35
Michał Beim, Bogusz Modrzewski	35
An Open Source WebGIS Solution for a Merging Cross-Border Region A Best Practise Example Eurodistrict Saarmoselle45	45
Guido Kebbedies, Jan-Philipp Exner.....	45
Anforderungen an ein individuelles Verkehrsinformationssystem zur Optimierung der Verkehrsmittel- und Routenwahl von Pendlern	53
Oliver Roeder.....	53
Ausweitung der Kommerzzone. Entmischung in Szenequartieren als Ergebnis immobilienwirtschaftlicher (Einzel-)Rationalität	63
Ricarda Pätzold	63
Carbon Footprints aufgrund individuellem Verkehrsverhalten von unterschiedlichen Haushalten	73
Sebastian Petsch, Subhrajit Guhathakurta, Hans Hagen.....	73
Chance auf Vorbereitung eines geordneten Übergangs oder Herausforderung eines anlassbezogenen Krisenmanagements? Zur Stellung der Raumplanung in der Diskussion um post-fossile städtische Zukünfte	83
Tatjana Fischer, Gernot Stöglehner, Franz Skala	83
Circular Flow Land Use Management	95
Uwe Ferber, Jifina Bergatt Jackson, Anna Starzewska-Sikorska	95
City Computer Architecture. Corporate Information Systems Approach	105
Vasily Popovich, Manfred Schrenk, Oksana Smirnova, Nataly Zhukova, Yaroslav Shalamaiko	105
Contemporary Spatial and Hierarchie Characteristics of Urban System of Bosnia and Herzegovina	113
Rahman Nurković	113
Coping with Dynamic, Unstructured Data Sets – NoSQL a Buzzword or a Savior?	121
Johannes Scholz.....	121
Creating Added Value in Working Landscapes – The Development of the Atlanta BeltLine	131
Dagmar Grimm-Pretner, Doris Gstach.....	131
Current Trends and Management of Urban Development in Lithuania	139
Dalia Bardauskienė, Mindaugas Pakalnis.....	139
Data for Spatial Planning – A Comparison of Three Cities	145
Gerhard Navratil, Johannes Scholz, Ladislav Danek, Farid Karimipour.....	145
Dealing with Peripheralisation in Urban Development – the Case of Pirmasens	155
Sabine Weck	155
Den städtischen Wandel begleiten – Stadtentwicklungsförderung als europäische sowie regionale Aufgabe und Verantwortung: Möglichkeiten und Anwendung der europäischen Strukturfondsressourcen am Beispiel Deutschland und der Region Brandenburg	163
Marc Altenburg, Jens Gerhardt	163
Der Umgang mit Risiken für Wassereinzugsgebiete, eine neue Herausforderung für die Raumplanung in Istanbul	173
Hale Mamunlu.....	173
Designing with the Sun – Integrative Workflow and Solar Simulation with AutoCAD, 3D Studio MAX and EcoTect	183
Wolfgang Höhl.....	183
Development of an Open Framework to Provide Intelligent Multi-modal Mobility Services	189
Raffaele De Amicis, Giuseppe Conti, Diego Taglioni, Daniele Magliocchetti, Gabrio Girardi.....	189
Eco Sensitive Beach Design: Conceptualization of Community Recreational Sandy Beach Development	199
Niyi Okedele, Tunji Adejumo	199
Effects of Information Technology Facilities on the Urban Environment: A Comparative Study of Lagos Island and Victoria Island, Lagos	209
Hakeem Bishi, Oluwafemi Olajide.....	209

Ein standardisiertes Evaluierungsmodell für innerstädtische Verkehrsprojekte als Basis für eine Neuordnung der österreichischen Finanzierungslandschaft.....	219
Roman Klementsitz.....	219
Einsatzmöglichkeiten und Grenzen GPS-gestützter Parkraumbewirtschaftung.....	229
Johanna Lebitsch, Reinhard Hössinger, Elisabeth Raser.....	229
Elektromobilität als technologischer Treiber der Stadtentwicklung.....	239
Oliver Schwedes, Ingo Kollosche.....	239
Emotional Barrier-GIS – A new Approach to Integrate Barrier-Free Planning in Urban Planning Processes.....	247
Benjamin Sebastian Bergner, Peter Zeile, Georgios Papastefanou, Werner Rech, Bernd Streich.....	247
Energy Transition and Sustainable Spatial Development in the Northern Netherlands.....	259
Martin Dubbeling, Michaël Meijer.....	259
Engaging the Mobile Citizens – How Mobile Devices Offer new Ways of Civil Engagement.....	269
Stefan Höffken, Bernd Streich.....	269
Enhancing Competitiveness of Serbian Medium-Sized Cities in Global Urban Networks.....	275
Sanja Simeunčević, Biserka Mitrović.....	275
Evolutionary Processes, Morphology and Typology of Historical Architecture as a Line of Research: a Tool for Conservation.....	285
Aida Amine Casanova Rosado, Brillante Zavala Centeno, Julián Yanez Tun, Mercedes Ordoñez Contreras, Rodrigo de la O Torres.....	285
Expansion of the City of Resistencia. Its Metropolitan Process.....	293
Paula Valdés, María Dora Foulkes, Regina Mafalda Pérez.....	293
Exploration Spatial Analysis of Factors Influencing Farmland Conversion in Tainan, Taiwan.....	301
Yung-Chen Hsu, Hsueh-Sheng Chang.....	301
Explore Urban Flood Vulnerability based on Spatial Pattern in Taiwan Ecological City Viewpoint.....	309
Chin-Hsien Liao, Hsueh-Sheng Chang.....	309
Flex-I-Geo-Web –in dienstebasierter Softwarebaukasten als Planungswerkzeug für die Stadtentwicklung.....	315
Robert Kulawik.....	315
Foresighted Planning, Dynamic Plan – the Role of New Tools in Spatial Transformation.....	321
Izabela Mironowicz, Mgdalena Mlek-Galewska.....	321
From Urban Foresight to Urban Futures? Potentials and Limitations of Forward Looking Activities for Integrated Urban Development.....	335
Christian Hartmann.....	335
GEARViewer: A State of the Art Real-Time Geospatial Visualization Framework.....	345
Stephan Mantler, Gerd Hesina, Michael Greiner, Werner Purgathofer.....	345
GIS-Analysen mit Geodaten von Regionalplänen und ihre Bedeutung für die Politikberatung.....	355
Klaus Einig.....	355
GIS-based 3D Urban Modeling Framework Integrating Constraints and Benefits of Ecosystems for Participatory Optimization of Urban Green Space Patterns.....	365
Noemi Neuschwander, Ulrike Wissen Hayek, Adrienne Grêt-Regamey.....	365
Governance between Stability and Change – the Case of the Metropolitan Region Hamburg (MRH).....	375
Sabine v. Löwis.....	375
GRETAS® – Dynamische Simulation immobilienökonomischer Raum- und Standortpotenziale.....	391
Axel Haeusler.....	391
Guidelines and Rating Systems as Tools to Foster Climate Change Adaptation of Ho Chi Minh City’s Urban Infrastructure.....	397
Ronald Eckert.....	397
Housing Informality in Expanding Ethiopian Cities: Moving beyond the ‘New Normal’ Syndrome.....	405
Tendayi Gondo.....	405
Impact Assessment of Sustainable Public Transportation System on Quality of Life in Tehran.....	415
Pooya Joodi, Mostafa Momeni, Hanieh Shams Kooshki, Hamid Azizi.....	415
Improving Quality of Place: Strategic Approaches in Germany and the UK.....	425
Andreas Wesener.....	425
Impulsgeber für andere Regionen, Sachsen-Anhalt – ein Modell?.....	437
Silja Tillner.....	437
Innerstädtische Brachflächen als Chance sowie Herausforderung der Stadtentwicklung – das Innenstadtentwicklungsprojekt Sextius Mirabeau in Aix-en-Provence.....	447
Heidi Megerle.....	447

Innovative Spatial Planning in Mitigating Climate Change-Related Vulnerability in Nigerian Urban Centres	459
Yekken Sanusi.....	459
Integration of Inhabitants in Contemporary City	473
Małgorzata Zając.....	473
International Knowledge Transfer - Analysis of Planning Cultures	483
Christina Steinhauer.....	483
Intertwining Big Events and Urban Strategy within Life Cycle Analysis: the case of Solo, Central Java, Indonesia	493
Júlia M. Lourenço, Zulaikha B. Astuti.....	493
Kommunikationsstrategien für erfolgreiche Stadtviertelentwicklung am Praxisbeispiel „Andräviertel“	505
Gabriela Paumgartner.....	505
Land Demand and Land Potential of Central Java in 2030: a Forecast to Promote a More Balanced Development Policy ...515	
Wiwandari Handayani.....	515
Land Use and Traffic Pattern along Lagos – Badagry Corridor, Lagos, Nigeria	525
Leke Oduwaye, Wale Alade, Salami Adekunle	525
Lebenszyklen und Transformationsprozesse eines städtischen Boulevards – die Rue de la République in Marseille	533
Heidi Megerle.....	533
Locational Analysis of Telecommunications Infrastructure in Residential Neighbourhoods of Lagos Metropolis	543
Wale Alade, Hakeem Bishi, Oluwafemi Olajide.....	543
Long Waves, Lifecycles, and Urban Development: Context for Short-Term Purposeful Action	551
Alan E. Alvarez, Justin Root, David C. Prosperi, Zenyep Enlil	551
Lovable City: Maintaining Our Beliefs While Living in a Changing World and Building Towards a Better Society	563
Hao Zhang, Bochun Yu, Ebon Armon Pinson.....	563
Maintenance and Management of Communist-Era Privatized Flats and New Condominiums in Tirana: Legal Requirements and Actual Practices	571
Dorina Pojani	571
Management of Delhi’s Urban Growth and Shrinkage	581
Bikram Kumar Dutta, Sanhita Bandyopadhyay	581
Management of Rapid Growth in the Rift between the Principles of Sustainability, Market Requirements and Strategic Planning – the Possible Approaches to Local Planning of National Park Kopaonik Area	591
Biserka Mitrović, Sanja Simeunčević, Miodrag Ralević, Tanja Klišmanić.....	591
Metaphors from the Resilience Literature: Guidance for Planners	601
Adriana Scotti Petrillo, David C. Prosperi.....	601
Metro or Light Rail: Belgrade Transport Proposals	613
Rajko Lj. Korica, Danilo S. Furundzic.....	613
Mittendrin und doch am Rand. Raumentwicklung in NRW einmal von der Peripherie her gedacht	623
Nils Leber.....	623
Mobile Augmented City – New Methods for Urban Analysis and Urban Design Processes by using Mobile Augmented Reality Services	633
Benjamin Allbach, Martin Memmel, Peter Zeile, Bernd Streich.....	633
Mobilisierung des endogenen Potenzials in peripheren ländlichen Regionen	643
Wibke Strahl, Theresia Oedl-Wieser, Thomas Dax.....	643
New Life of Modern Cities: Transformation and Renewal of Public Spaces in New Belgrade	655
Mira Milaković, Milena Vukmirović	655
Of Cells and Cities: a Comparative Econometric and Cellular Automata Approach to Urban Growth Modelling	667
Tamas Krisztin, Eric de Noronha Vaz, Matthias Koch	667
On-site Participation – Mobile Information Systems in Planning Processes	673
Sebastian Althoff, Norman Kratz, Gregor Landwehr	673
Parallel Infrastructures and the Changing Metropolis: the Case of Lisbon	681
João Rafael Santos	681
Parks and an Analysis of their Role in Improving the Quality of Urban Life, Using Seeking-Escaping Model. Case Study: Tehran Urban Parks	691
Samaneh Khosravanezhad, Zahra Abaszadeh, Furugh Karimzadeh, Peyman Zadehbagheri	691
Pathology of Urban-Rural Interfaces Role in Urban Growth Management	699
Furugh Karimzadeh, Samaneh Khosravani, Peyman Zadehbagheri.....	699
Planungsrelevante Datengrundlagen für Österreich: LISA – Land Information System Austria	707
Klaus Steinnocher, Gebhard Banko, Jürgen Weichselbaum.....	707

Planungsrelevanz: Potenziale und Herausforderungen neuer Geodatenstrukturen	715
Stefan Fina.....	715
+pol – Multimodale Mobilitätsstationen am Beispiel der Stadt Freiburg im Breisgau.....	727
Nadja Bautz, Peter Zeile	727
Preventive Development of Urban Neighbourhoods: Demand-Oriented Life-Cycle Management.....	737
Jutta Deffner, Immanuel Stiess.....	737
Quality of Information Collected with the Help of Map-Based Questionnaires.....	747
Matthias Fessele, Alenka Poplin.....	747
Quality of Life Indices Assessment for Disabled and Elderly People: Case Study of Tehran	755
Hamid Azizi, Mostafa Momeni, Mahmoud Taghinia	755
Qualitätsvolle, flächen-, kosten- und energiesparende Siedlungsentwicklung als gemeinsamer Lernprozess von Politik, Verwaltung, Bauträgern/-innen und Wissenschaftlern/-innen	767
Doris Damyanovic, Florian Reinwald	767
RADAR – Potentials for Supporting Urban Development with a Social Geocontent Hub.....	777
Martin Memmel, Florian Groß	777
Re-Thinking Urbanism for Kuala Lumpur Conurbation: Live Work and Travel Easily.....	785
Syra Maidin, Jamilah Mohamad	785
Recycling an Urban Space: Hasan Abad Square in Tehran	795
Nasim Iranmanesh, Korous Etaati	795
Redeveloping the City Structure – the Case of Lodz ‘New City Center’	803
Małgorzata Hanzl	803
Research of Public Housing Policy in Taiwan.....	813
Yi-Hsuan Lin.....	813
Resilience and Transformation: Can We Have Both?.....	819
David C. Prospero, Sofia Morgado.....	819
Resource-efficient Urban Spatial Development Scenarios 2050. FP 7 Project SUME – Sustainable Urban Metabolism for Europe	831
Christof Schremmer, Ursula Mollay, Barbara Saringer-Bory.....	831
Rethinking the Urban Transformation Projects Again: the Distribution of Public Burden and Benefits. The Case of Kartal District, Istanbul	843
A. Erdem Erbas, Tansel Erbil	843
Seamless Urbanisation and Knotted City Growth: Delhi Metropolitan Region.....	853
Sridharan Namperumal, Hannes Taubenböck, Manisha Jain.....	853
Simulation of Pedestrian Behaviour in Urban Spaces. A Case Study of “Sidi Gaber” Public Space, Alexandria, Egypt	863
Rania A. Raslan, Ali F. Bakr, Hany A. Ayad	863
Socio-Economic Determinants of Urban Poor Housing Types in Makoko Area, Lagos.....	873
Leke Oduwaye, Victor Ilechukwu, Omoayena Yadau.....	873
Spatial Distribution and Dynamics of Innovation-Related Employment in Germany	883
Rüdiger Meng.....	883
Spatial Partitioning of Livability Indices: South Florida Case Study	895
Yexsy Schomberg, Kasama Polakit, David Prospero	895
Stability, Safety & Vulnerability of Modern Cities.....	905
Hari Baral	905
Stadtumbau für das 21. Jahrhundert.....	917
Markus Tomaselli	917
Study on Evaluation of Thermal Environment Following Alleviation of Limit on Number of Floors of Apartment Complex	923
Ji-Won Ryu, Eung-Ho Jung, Dae-Wuk Kim, Jae-Gyu Cha, Akira Hoyano.....	923
Supra-regional Partnerships – What can they Contribute to Innovative Regional Development	935
Sandra Pennekamp	935
Sustainability via Soft Natural Resource Management. The Coastal Area of Vouliagmeni.....	945
Agisilaos Economou.....	945
Territorial Cohesion for Managing Change in Cultural Landscapes.....	955
Liesl Vanautgaerden, Jan Zaman.....	955
The “Sprawl Repair Act”: Realizing Polycentricity in Metropolitan Spatial Structure.....	965
Jenny Hsu, David C. Prospero.....	965



The Dynamics of Urban Change in Times of Climate Change – the Case of Ho Chi Minh City	977
Harry Storch, Nigel Downes	977
The Integration of Urban Regeneration Method into the Practice of Historical Conservation – the Case Study of Tainan, Taiwan	985
Hsueh-Sheng Chang, Tzu-Ling Chen.....	985
The Problems of Renewal of Old Quarters in Baku Historical Center	995
Shahla Kahramanova.....	995
The Qualitative Development of Land Use Structures	1007
Despina Dimelli	1007
The Quality of Public Facilities for the Disabled, Sari, Iran, 2010	1015
Mehdi Golestani-Bakht, Khadijeh Rabiei, Mehran Mojtahedzadeh	1015
The Use of GIS Application in Identifying Youth Recreational Area in Subang Jaya, Selangor	1019
Rosilawati Zainol, Syra Lawrance Maidin	1019
The Value of Green Infrastructure in Urban Quality of Life	1029
Karsten Rusche.....	1029
Towards Emotional and Opinion-Based Layers in City GIS	1039
Amin Abdalla, Paul Weiser.....	1039
Transformation of Derelict Areas into Mixed-Use Urban Neighbourhoods – Case Studies in the Polish Cities	1047
Michal Stangel	1047
Untersuchungen zum Informations- und Wissensmanagement in der urbanen Pflanzenverwendung	1053
Marcel Heins, Wolfram Kircher.....	1053
Urban Design and Urban Planning in Dynamic Global Positioning of Local Identities	1063
Tatjana Mrdjenovic	1063
Urban Green Areas: Lots of Benefits, but some Drawbacks	1069
Sascha Henninger.....	1069
Urban Management based on Citizen Participation to Enhance Quality of Life in Tehran	1079
Mostafa Momeni, Hamid Azizi, Mahmoud Taghinia.....	1079
Urban Monitoring Laboratory: New Benefits and Potential for Urban Planning through the Use of Urban Sensing, Geo- and Mobile-Web	1087
Jan-Philipp Exner, Peter Zeile, Bernd Streich	1087
Urbane RaumStrategien – Kernthesen des strategischen Entwerfens im Kontext der zeitgenössischen Stadt	1097
Beate Niemann, Priscilla Schädler	1097
Verwundbarkeitsanalyse urbaner Räume: Ableitung von Indikatoren aus multisensoralen Fernerkundungsdaten	1107
Hannes Taubenböck, Michael Wurm, Igor Klein, Thomas Esch	1107
Vienna's Historic Ground Floor and its Socio-Urban Potential – Integrative Diversity Par-terre?	1119
Angelika Psenner	1119
Von der Brache zum urbanen Nährboden	1127
Martina Baum.....	1127
Wachstum und Schrumpfung – Flächenmanagement unter den Bedingungen der teilräumlichen Polarisierung	1135
Hany Elgendy, Sabine Michels, Dirk Seidemann, Sebastian Wilske	1135
Web-based Interoperability System: A Collaborative Method to Integrate Rural Buildings with Their Surroundings	1145
Jin Su Jeong, Lorenzo García Moruno, Julio Hernández Blanco	1145
What is Next after VINEX?	1153
Stefan Netsch, Niels Kropman	1153
Whose Quality of Life? In What Kind of City?	1163
Judith Ryser.....	1163
A Continuous Process with a Strong Vision and Frame can Deal with Transformation and Changes. Monitoring the Spatial Structure Plan of the City of Ghent	1169
Guy Vloebergh.....	1169
About the Impact of Information Technologies on the Atmosphere at the Workplace	1175
Olivier Lefebvre.....	1175
aspern, die Seestadt Wiens: Offene Strukturen für eine robuste Stadtentwicklung	1179
Peter Hinterkörner.....	1179
Autobahnen im Ballungsraum von morgen: Die Kunst der Vernetzung	1185
Thomas Steiner.....	1185
CentroeSTATISTICS – interaktive grenzüberschreitende Geo-Statistik	1191
Clemens Beyer, Walter Pozarek, Manfred Schrenk	1191

Challenges in Integrating Ecosystem Services in Sustainable Land Management	1197
Jürg Altwegg, Adrienne Grêt-Regamey.....	1197
Cities and Climate Change: A Simulation Model for the Ruhr Area 2050	1203
Felix Huber, Klaus Spiekermann, Michael Wegener.....	1203
City Discovered or Invented? A Dialogue between Geographer and Architect	1209
Izabela Mironowicz, David C. Prospero.....	1209
Climate Change Mitigation and the Role of Industrial Parks	1215
Tom Maes, Greet Van Eetvelde, Karel Moentjens	1215
Creation of Value-Added Services based on Harmonized Land Use and Land Cover Datasets: Project HLANDATA	1217
María Cabello, Isabel Goñi, Delia Sola	1217
CultNature – neue urbane Landschaften als Strategie ökologisch und ökonomisch nachhaltiger Stadtentwicklung	1221
Andreas Kipar, Franz Lehner, Hans-Peter Noll.....	1221
Demographischer Wandel – ein Thema für die Immobilienbewertung?	1225
Martin Töllner	1225
Demographischer Wandel in Leinefelde: Management eines Schrumpfungsprozesses	1233
Gerd Reinhardt, Hermann Sträb	1233
Deutschland verändert sich – LOCAL®2011 – das amtliche Gebietsraster	1237
Dirk Schneider.....	1237
Digital Graffiti – A Comprehensive Location-Based Travel Information System	1239
Wolfgang Narzt, Wolfgang Wasserburger.....	1239
Directions of Transformations of Postindustrial Greenery in the Silesian Agglomeration	1245
Aneta Dyraga.....	1245
Dynamic Expansion and Urbanization of Greater Cairo Metropolitan, Egypt	1251
Ahmed Abdelhalim M. Hassan.....	1251
Effects of Global Economy on Spatial Structure of Ikeja, Lagos	1257
Leke Oduwaye, Gbenga Enisan.....	1257
Emergency Management Pilot in BRISEIDE	1267
María Cabello, Jose Achaerandio	1267
Emotional Mapping for Migrants – Using Cartography for integration efforts	1273
Georg Gartner, Felix Ortig.....	1273
Erdbeobachtung und Raumentwicklung?	1275
Hannes Taubenböck, Thomas Esch, Michael Wurm, Wieke Heldens, Christian Geiss, Stefan Dech	1275
How the INTERREG IVc Project “GRaBS” can Change European Cities	1283
Age Niels Holstein, Christine Schwaberger.....	1283
Höher, schneller, weiter – Großinfrastrukturen als Stimulus der Stadt- und Regionalentwicklung?	1289
Dirk Engelke, Wolfgang Jung	1289
Innovative Urban Development Projects in Vienna	1291
Brigitte Jilka	1291
Joint Programming Initiative Urban Europe – a new Approach to Funding Research on Urban Issues and Technologies in Europe	1301
Hans-Günther Schwarz.....	1301
Konversion in Darmstadt	1303
Harald Kissel	1303
Mobilität prägt Städte und Regionen – MAI, der „Mobilitätsausweis für Immobilien“, als Promotor nachhaltiger Mobilität	1309
Manfred Schrenk, Christian Eizinger, Tanja Egger, Linda Dörrzapf, Susanne Franz.....	1309
Neue Konzepte der kommunalen Wasser-/Abwasser-Infrastruktur	1315
Bernhard Michel.....	1315
Neues Leben in alten Strukturen: Die Entwicklung der Kokerei Zollverein –Flächenrecycling und Weltkulturerbe	1321
Hans-Peter Noll, Gernot Pahlen.....	1321
NICE: Boom to Bust - Hintergründe und Konsequenzen der Wohnungsmarktkrise in Spanien	1327
Thorsten Heitkamp	1327
Niederländische Stadtbausteine	1333
Stefan Netsch.....	1333
Partnerschaft zwischen Planung und Dialog – Begleitung von Veränderungsprozessen	1339
Yvonne Knapstein	1339



Planungswerkzeuge in Raum- und Verkehrsplanung – quo vadis?	1343
Martin Berger, Kurt Fallast, Martin Fellendorf, Gerald Kovacic, Gudrun Maierbrugger, Stephanie Novak, Mario Platzer, Manfred Schrenk, Helmut Schrom-Feiertag, Wolfgang Wasserburger	1343
Regional Disparities in Availability and Affordability of Housing	1351
Milada Kadlecová, Michal Hadlač	1351
Renaissance of Cities? An Empirical Analysis of the Population Development in German City Regions 1999-2009.....	1357
Frank Osterhage	1357
Russian Urban Transport Strategy Today: Three Approaches	1363
Mikhail Petrovich.....	1363
Smart Cities Workshop: Inputs for a Roadmap towards Smart Cities in Austria	1367
Edith Haslinger, Barbara Saringer-Bory, Olivier Pol, Ursula Mollay, Wolfgang Neugebauer, Jessen Page, Branislav Iglár	1367
“Smart City Wien”: Vienna’s Stepping Stone into the European Future of Technology and Climate.....	1373
Kurt Hofstetter, Alexandra Vogl	1373
Sociopolitical Changes and City Growth – a Case Study of Novi Sad, Serbia	1383
Milica Kostreš, Jelena Atanacković-Jeličić	1383
Stadtentwicklungsplan Klima, Berlin.....	1389
Carl Herwarth von Bittenfeld	1389
Stages of E-Government Development using the Example of Tbilisi Municipality Local Self-Government Property Management	1395
Valerian Gvatua	1395
Stand der Einführung von XPlanung in Norddeutschland.....	1399
Kai-Uwe Krause	1399
Solarinitiative München (SIM) – 300 MWp auf den Dächern einer Großstadt.....	1405
Michael König.....	1405
Städtebaulicher Rahmenplan Luisenstadt – die Wiederentdeckung von Stadt	1409
Brigitte Holz.....	1409
Territory + High-Speed Rail – (Agriculture-Zones + Eco-Parks + Seashores). Proposal for Strategic Planning of China’s Coastal Region Starting with No-Build Zones	1413
Stefan Rau	1413
The Future of Strategic Brownfield Regeneration in England – Between Urban Intensification and Green Infrastructure Provision	1421
Andreas Schulze Bäing	1421
Web-based City Maps for Blind and Visually Impaired.....	1429
Wolfgang Wasserburger, Julia Neuschmid, Manfred Schrenk.....	1429
Why in the Czech Republic the Sustainable Land Use Efforts have Failed to Match up the Improvements in Available Tools	1433
Jiřina Bergatt Jackson.....	1433

Metro or Light Rail: Belgrade Transport Proposals

Rajko Lj. Korica, Danilo S. Furundzic

(Rajko Lj. Korica, University of Belgrade, Faculty of Architecture, Department of Urban Planning, Bulevar Kralja Aleksandra 73, Belgrade, rajko.korica@gmail.com)

(Danilo S. Furundzic, University of Belgrade, Faculty of Architecture, Department of Urban Planning, Bulevar Kralja Aleksandra 73, Belgrade, dfurundzic@gmail.com)

1 ABSTRACT

An architectural discourse on urban railroad transport systems in European context is presented in this paper. Metro and light rail systems are briefly compared and international practice discussed. As a case study, the proposals of metro and light rail transport in Belgrade are concisely reviewed and the budget crucial role is recognized. Five attached figures are specially completed for this paper.

2 INTRODUCTION

European towns have a variety of urban forms, spatial structures and transport systems. The transport in the towns is complex because of the multitude of origins and destinations, and the amount of traffic. The transport problems take place when transport systems cannot satisfy numerous requirements of urban mobility. Typical urban problems are traffic congestion, parking difficulties, land consumption, public space reduction, environmental impacts, energy consumption and public transport inadequacy.

Urban railroad transport, which is influenced by continuous technological innovations, serves urban and suburban areas and plays a dominant role in many European towns. There are various types of rail systems with rail vehicles running on electricity. Rail systems classification and subdivision into types is not easy, because type characteristics often overlap. Terminology of rail systems is not uniform also. Between the “metro” and the “tram”, which are two main types, there is the “light rail”, which is a relatively new system resembling to tram although with many characteristics of a metro.

Few proposals of metropolitan system in Belgrade, called “Belgrade Metro”, span from the time after the Second World War, to the first decade of the New Millennium. Recent proposal is light rail system in Belgrade, called “Belgrade Light Metro”. All these proposals consider various Belgrade transport aspects, such as demand, network, speed, capacity, frequency, operation, maintenance and investment.

Railroads are town infrastructure regulating elements which provide mutual connections and relations among different urban districts. New metro or light rail system design and construction, where architects devoted to urbanism participation is compulsory, gives an opportunity for the town transformation. This chance for new urban development of Belgrade, conveniently located on two river banks, is important locally and regionally and should not be missed.

3 URBAN RAIL TRANSPORT

3.1 Urban infrastructure

Infrastructure study is complex because many interrelated phenomena have to be analyzed. Infrastructural buildings, which can be traced since antique times, follow towns’ spatial development and human civilization progress (Korica 2008). Rise of infrastructural systems started with antique roads and canals for transport of people and goods. Modern infrastructure systems, besides transport of people and goods, also provide transport of energy and information.

Urban infrastructure, as a basis of urban functions, increases town development potentialities and everyday life qualities. Nevertheless, classification of infrastructure is not unique and can be done in a few ways. Infrastructure consists of *technical* infrastructure (roads, water supplies, sewers, electric lines, telecommunications, heating systems, and so on), and *social* infrastructure (kindergartens, schools, hospitals, social institutions, et cetera).

Technical infrastructure systems of interest in urban planning are: *transport* systems (which utilize land as medium, water, and air), *water* systems, *energy* systems and *telecommunication* systems (Korica 2008:37). *Transport systems*, particularly these with roads or railroads infrastructure, are very important in space

planning and urban design. Corridors of roads or railroads, with tunnels, bridges and different buildings change environment.

The cost of infrastructure, such as roads or railroads, is high and compounds a principal part of the total cost of transport system. Built infrastructure maintenance is expensive too. The state usually finances infrastructure construction because of its high investment costs.

3.2 Transport

Transport (transportation, transit, traffic) represents an essential aspect of urban life. Mobility is fundamental to economic and social urban activities (Rodrigue et al. 2009). Each movement, independent of its nature, has an origin, perhaps intermediate locations, and a destination. Nowadays traveling is a part of everyday life.

Transport systems, composed of network and nodes, are coupled to distinct urban functions. There is a connection between mobility and life quality. Good design and advanced materials can improve transportation systems and create more sustainable urban environment. Increasing demands of urban population are raising complex transport issues.

3.3 Influence of transport

An analysis of urban development through history, as Mumford (1961) presented in his influential masterpiece “The City in History”, shows that means of conveying of passengers and cargo have an important function in founding, shaping, and growing of towns. Transport influences town location, appearance and size. Regional and local transport system transforms both urban form and urban structure.

Locations of many European towns correspond to trading routes intersections and goods transloading points. Typical town locations are: harbor on seashore (Rotterdam), river crossing (Paris), port on river bank (Budapest), rivers confluence (Belgrade), mining district (Ruhr), hilltop (Prague), resort (Nice), and so on.

Easy access by different transport means increases the growth of town. Effective mobility within town affects diversification of urban functions. For example, the tunnel in Buda followed by the chain bridge over the Danube enabled residential, commercial, and industrial development in Pest. The unification of Buda and Pest into Budapest was the consequence of better mobility, economic growth and residents increase.

In the 19th century, world population increased and urbanization process started. The invention of railway, having capacity, speed and comfort, caused enlargement of towns and expansion of street networks. In a short time, narrow medieval streets of old European towns were encircled by broad new boulevards.

3.4 Public transport

At the beginning of the 20th century, numerous technical inventions in mechanical and electrical engineering enable development of public transport along streets and rail tracks in European towns (Vuchic 2007).

Public transport (mass transit) is a common passenger transportation system, with fixed routes and scheduled service. Main urban public transport vehicles are bus (motorbus, autobus), trolleybus, tram (tramway), and urban train.

Rail transport allows high capacity on short or long distance, but requires construction of tracks and suitable stations. Urban rail transport main types are: *metro*, *trams*, and recently *light rail*. Rail vehicles use less urban surface area than private cars (Maletin 2009).

Urban passenger majority travels mostly between their homes and work places. Public transport is safe and offers mobility for all. It has to provide quality service and to fulfill sustainable mobility requirements (UITP 2011).

After the Second World War private cars started to create congestion and to disorganize rail transport operations in streets. Large towns began to utilize rail technology for high-speed services on lines with fully separated rights-of-way.

3.5 Metro

Public enterprise “Serbian Railways”, successor of the first Serbian railway line Belgrade-Nis opened in 1884, is a member of the International Association of Public Transport (UITP - Union International des



Transports Public), founded in 1885. This Association defines *metro* as “a tracked, electrically driven local means of transport, which has an integral, continuous track bed of its own”(UITP 2011). Nevertheless, the extended definition of metro is adopted in this paper and given hereunder.

Metro (metropolitan, underground, subway), called *rapid transit* also, is urban public transport electric railway system with large capacity and frequent service and completely independent from other traffic. In this definition, it should be noticed, *metro attributes* are speed, independence, urban, public, electric, capacity, and frequency. In large town, metro may be only in central parts and with frequent stations, while a *suburban railway* reaches peripheral districts.

Metro system is everywhere considered as public transport *backbone*, because of its speed, capacity, and frequency. In fact, metro has capability to transport speedily and frequently a large number of people over short distances, using minimal area of the town surface (UITP 2011). In addition, metros can be easily integrated with other public transport by interchange stations.

In many capitals and large cities around the world, metro is a major public transport and it serves millions of passengers per day (Beara 1998). Famous are pioneer metros in European cities, such as metro in London (opened in 1863), Budapest (1896), Paris (1900), Berlin (1902), Madrid (1919), and Moscow (1935).

Metro lines are regularly placed below street level in underground tunnels. Parts of metro lines can be above the ground on elevated bridges. Two metro construction methods are *tunneling*, first used in London, and street *excavating*, first used in Budapest. Luxurious metro stations in Moscow have demonstrated economical and technical achievements of the Soviet Union. During the World War II, metro tunnels in London were used as shelters.

Metro trains are electric and driven by drivers. Older systems use direct current (DC). Trains commonly have steel wheels running over two steel rails. Two innovations, used in the Paris Metro, are driverless train operation and rubber tires. Metro has small energy consumption and space occupancy (UITP 2011). From Europe to America and Asia, there are different designs of metro systems.

Developing and operating of metro system is a complex task. Metro system construction is very expensive and lasts many years. Maintenance of metro system is costly too. The country or town government investment and ownership are standard these days. Regardless of the high investment cost and long construction time, metro is an optimal public transport mode for a town with population of over a million, what is a traditional benchmark for start of building a metro system in a town.

In our time, metro systems exist in 175 towns in 49 countries of the world. Out of these, 75 metro systems, covering a track length of about 3500 km, are in Europe. Among them, 62 metros belong to the European Union member countries (the EU-27) and 13 metros are outside the EU-27 (WMD 2011). Germany has 19 metro systems, France 7, Italy 7, Spain 6, Russia 6, UK 3, Belgium 3, and so forth.

Some of the European metros belong to the top ten in terms of: *annual passenger rides* (Moscow 3.2 billion, Paris 1.2, London 0.88), *number of stations* (Paris 369 stations, London 275, Berlin 254, Munich 229, Madrid 190, Moscow 165), *length of metro lines* (London 415 km, Moscow 265, Madrid 226, Paris 212, Berlin 146) (WMD 2011). According to consumer surveys (GMT 2011), four European metros (the London Underground, the Paris Métropolitaine, and the metros in Moscow and Madrid) belong to the top ten metro systems in the World.

Approximately 77 per cent of the existing metro length in Europe lies in Western Europe, in view of the fact that Central and Eastern European countries primarily invested in their tramway systems expansion. Further, there are big differences in metro characteristics across different countries (GMT 2011).

Metro planning, designing, evaluating and constructing is extremely complex urban and transport venture (Beara 1998). Detailed feasibility study with anticipation and objective evaluating of metro routes and stations is necessary for making a decision. Worldwide practical experience should be used.

3.6 Light rail

Trams, or tramways, are electric vehicles that run on rails in streets. They have larger capacity than buses, but they are less flexible vehicles, that must follow rails and electric wires. Developed with the advent of electricity at the beginning of the 20th century, trams become very popular and in a short time, almost every

big town in Europe has trams. After the World War II, trams are slowly removed from many towns in favor of cars (Vuchic 2007).

Some towns cannot find money for a metro system. Decision makers decide to keep trams and modernize them. The strategy is to free the trams from streets congestion, expecting that for a small part of metro costs the town will get a big part of metro performance. Compromise principle is, it may be said, “to get 80% of the performance attributes of metro for 20% of its costs” (UITP 2011).

These pioneering towns successfully improved quality of trams’ service at reasonable costs and they activated exceptional interest. During the last two decades, many cities introduced urban light rail systems running on street level with low-floor vehicles allowing easy use without high platforms (Vuchic 2007).

Light rail, called *light rail transit* also, is “a tracked, electrically driven local means of transport, which can be developed step by step from a modern tramway to a means of transport running in tunnels or above ground level. Every development stage can be a final stage in itself. It should however permit further development to the next higher stage”. (ERRAC 2004). This broad definition contains a wide variety of situations, from conventional tram, to tram-train system (like Cologne-Bonn in 1980s). In this definition, *light rail attributes* are: track, local, electric, development stages.

In other words, light rail is a modern development of a tram, with increased speed, step-free access and track segregated of other traffic as much as possible. Light rail can be developed in stages from traditional tramway systems or designed and built as completely new systems. The former strategy is encouraged in Central and Eastern Europe, and the latter mostly in Western Europe (ERRAC 2004).

The term “light rail transit” covers those systems which role and performance lie between a conventional tram running on the main street at one extreme and an urban heavy rail or metro at the other. Light rail systems are thus flexible and expandable. Capacity of light rail depends on vehicle type and varies between 170 and 350 passengers (UITP 2011).

Light rail system is successful transport mode, proponents declare, because of its speed, capacity, regularity, reliability, accessibility, comfort, safety, adaptability, phased development, moderate cost, and contribution to a positive image of a town (UITP 2011).

Today, there are about 400 light rail systems in operation worldwide, roughly 60 more under construction and above 200 in plans. Europe has 170 light rail systems in operation and nearly 100 more in construction or planning (LRTA 2011).

4 BELGRADE TRANSPORT PROPOSALS

4.1 Location of Belgrade

Belgrade (Beograd) is the capital of Serbia and its administrative, economic, educational and cultural center. With nearly 2 million inhabitants, Belgrade represents a market attractive to investors.

Founded at the confluence of two rivers, the Sava and the Danube, Belgrade occupies the central position in Southeast Europe. Therefore, Belgrade is an important transportation and commercial centre of the Balkan Peninsula since ancient times. The Danube River is a sailing route, which connects Belgrade with many European towns.

The *Pan-European* corridors, likewise the *Trans-European* corridors in Western Europe, are main transport routes in Central and Eastern Europe and they require investment over the next decade. There are proposals to unite these two corridors into an integral system. The corridors differently encompass road, rail and waterway routes.

Contemporary Belgrade is a junction of the Pan-European corridors No. 10 and No. 7. For that reason, Belgrade has strategic position and it is the main communication and logistic center in the region.

4.2 Public transport in Belgrade

In the 19th century Turks departed (1867) and Serbia acquired full independence (1878). Since that time, Belgrade has developed by means of urban plans. As the capital and the main crossroad, Belgrade was always attractive to newcomers from the region and its population was increasing permanently.



Master plan, as strategic projection, defines a town spatial development and, besides other, determines transportation corridors and capacities. After the World War II, Belgrade developed on the base of master plans adopted in years: 1950, 1972 ("Belgrade 2000"), 1985, 2003 ("Belgrade 2021").

Public transport in Belgrade, after the first horse tram (in 1892), started in the 20th century with initial traffic lines (electric tram 1904, motor bus 1925, trolley bus 1947). Modern Belgrade public transport system has lines networks of buses, trolley buses and trams.

Unfortunately, Belgrade transport infrastructure cannot satisfy demands of its inhabitants. Street network of Belgrade has low capacity and the number of vehicles in the streets increases drastically. In rush hours, consequently, there is enormous traffic jam in the streets. Shortage of parking spaces and lack of garages bring on illegal parking on pavements and disturbance of pedestrians, above all children. Streets and pavements are often in the state of a real traffic chaos.

During the past decades, *different proposals* were substituting each other in Belgrade, starting from *metro* (in 1958, 1968, 1976, and 1982) (Beara 1998, Arandjelovic 2009), to *light rail* (2006) (BMP 2003, ABLCB 2008). In addition, different terms are used for light rail (modernized tram, pre-metro, Belgrade light metro).

Novel five figures (Fig. 1 to Fig. 5) are completed for this paper, with the objective to enable convenient comparison among four different metro proposals (Fig. 1 to Fig. 4) and light rail proposal (Fig. 5). In these figures, the same aerial map of Belgrade is used as a base. Applying existing data (Dobrovic 1958, Janic 1968, BDBR 1976, Maletin 1993, ABLCB 2008), five different proposals are presented, i.e. the rail lines of each proposal are drawn. Networks, directions and lengths of lines can be easily visually compared by five presented figures.



Fig. 1: Belgrade metro line – 1958 proposal

4.3 Belgrade metro proposals

Professor Dobrovic, prominent architect and urban planner of New Belgrade, made *proposal* of Belgrade metro (Fig. 1) in his monograph on urbanism technique and traffic (Dobrovic 1958). He promoted a line which links the town centre (terminus: Kalemegdan) to district Vracar (terminus: Cubura).




Fig. 2: Belgrade metro lines – 1968 proposal



Fig. 3: Belgrade metro lines – 1976 proposal



Fig. 4: Belgrade metro lines – 1982 proposal



Fig. 5: Belgrade light rail lines – 2006 proposal

The overloaded bridges and certain spots of the town caused analysis. New *proposal* of Belgrade metro (Fig. 2) is given in the study for the Yugoslav Railways (Janjic 1968). This study, started in 1962 and was completed in 1968, proposed metro network with three lines, (denoted A, B, C), with total length of 33 km and 35 stations. The line A links Zemun to Zvezdara, the line B links Kalemegdan to Banjica, and the line C study links Cukarica to Visnjica. Unfortunately, economic and political situation in Yugoslavia that time halted the study continuation through a detail design.

In a relatively short time, the *Directorate of Buildings and Reconstruction of Belgrade* (BDBR) formed an expert team, led by architect B. Jovin. This team gave an important *proposal* of Belgrade metro (Fig. 3) in the study on rapid public urban transport (BDBR 1976). Metro has five lines (M1 to M5) and large network to be constructed in two phases. The first phase has two lines, the line M1 links Zemun Centar to Vuk's Monument, and the line M2 links Dorcol to Autokomanda. These lines, together with bus, trolley bus and tram network, would immensely improve the life quality and provide additional green and pedestrian spaces.

In the BDBR study few feasible lines variants are developed also. Metro proposal (Fig. 4) given in 1982 has two central lines. The expert team studies started in 1972, but a political decision stopped the further work in 1982.

The metro system makes possible a better future development of Belgrade (Maletin 1993). In 1995, the revision of the study (BDBR 1976) was done, but economic and political matters did not allow investment in metro construction (ABL CB 2008).

4.4 Belgrade light rail proposals

During the 1990s, which started with the split of Yugoslavia and ended with the bombardment of Serbia in 1999 by the NATO, the economic crisis and shortage of possible financing postponed further studies of Belgrade metro. After the democratic changes in 2000, extensive feasibility study on *light rail* (LR) transport in Belgrade commenced with updated input parameters and objective to resolve traffic problems (ABL CB 2008). This study received a positive review and was the base of the master plan until year 2021.

Belgrade Master Plan to 2021 (BMP-2021), adopted in 2003, proposes three public rail transport types: urban railway, light rail, and trams. It defines light rail as “massive rail system (LRT)” (BMP 2003:985). The BMP-2021 proposes seven construction phases of LRT, first phases 1 to 3, and later phases 4 to 7.

Belgrade light rail transport system (Fig. 5), proposed in 2006, has three lines. Line 1 links Zemun and New Belgrade on the left bank of the Sava River to the town centre and Zvezdara. Line 2 is on the right bank of the Sava River and links the town centre to Topcider. Line 3 links New Belgrade to Banovo Brdo. The light rail system is compatible with the existing tram and suburban rail network. The initial Line 1 proposal is later improved with more details (ABL CB 2008).

The BMP-2021 provokes long, intense and permanent discussions between *metro proponents*, and *light rail proponents*. Metro proponents are simultaneously *light rail opponents*, who light rail call: “21st century tram”. There are many critics on the BMP-2021 light rail proposal. Light rail opponents declare the low cost is prevalent argument for light rail promotion, and that metro system is the only long-term public transport solution. On the other hand, light rail proponents state that the cost is crucial for Belgrade, and that light rail is, in terms of maximum number of passengers per hour, adequate solutions in the next two decades.

Belgrade urban train, (called: BG Voz) was opened in 2010 as the first phase of metro and includes underground stations that were built for metro earlier.

5 CONCLUSIONS

Architects of the 21st century face a challenge of modernizing urban infrastructure that supports civilization. Infrastructure problems are particularly acute in over populated urban areas. Architect is associated with diverse issues and activities. Because of that, architect's role in infrastructure designs is complex.

Participation of architects devoted to urbanism is compulsory in the design of metro or light rail. New rail transport system is an opportunity for urban area transformation. Railroads, as town infrastructure regulating elements, provide mutual connections and relations among different urban districts.



In European towns, from the point of view of architects, metros enable dense developments instead of urban sprawls. Metro lines are independent of existing street networks. That makes useful change of urban structures and functions possible. Thus, poorly planned towns can be improved.

Sustainable urban infrastructure planning demands not only an efficient systems approach, but also project management methods application. Public transport in towns must be coordinated with other urban functions. Increase of cars instead public transport improvement is not convenient.

Present economic recession seriously affects all businesses activities and tends to cut urban rail transport construction. European industrial countries experience is extremely useful to countries in transition.

Belgrade is one of the last European capitals with population over a million inhabitants that still has no metro or light rail transport system. The time of wars passed and the time of transition current should not be an excuse for no action.

Long history of dispute on metro versus light rail in Belgrade enlightens both transport systems. Program of stable financing is necessary for a successful construction of quality transport system.

If metro or light rail construction opens a chance for modern urban development of Belgrade, this excellent chance should not be missed.

6 REFERENCES

- ABLCB (Agency for Building Land and Construction of Belgrade): Belgrade Metro System (Based on LRT Technology) – Project Summary., Belgrade, 2008.
- ARANDJELOVIC, Biljana: Belgrade Metro Studies. In: Urbani izziv / Urban Challenge, Vol. 20, Issue 1, pp. 201-208. Ljubljana, 2009.
- BDBR (Belgrade Directorate for Building and Reconstruction): Studija tehnicko-ekonomske podobnosti brzog javnog gradskog saobracaja u Beogradu. [Study on Technical and Economic Feasibility of Rapid Public Urban Transport in Belgrade]. Direkcija za izgradnju i rekonstrukciju Beograda, Beograd, 1976.
- BEARA, Gojko: Metro – stvarno i moguće. [Metro – Real and Feasible.] Zavod za izgradnju grada Beograda, Beograd, 1998.
- BMP (Belgrade Master Plan): Generalni plan Beograda 2021. [Belgrade Master Plan to 2021]. In: Sluzbeni list Grada Beograda, Year XLVII, Vol. 27, pp. 901-1080. Beograd, 2003.
- DOBROVIC,.: Tehnika urbanizma; 2a-2b saobracaj. [Urbanism Technique; 2a-2b Traffic.] Naucna knjiga, Beograd, 1958.
- ERRAC (European Rail Research Advisory Council): Light Rail and Metro Systems in Europe. Brussels, 2004.
- GMT (Global Mass Transit): <www.globalmasstransit.net> (Retrieved 15.01.2011)
- JANJIC, Savo: Studija metroa u Beogradu. [Belgrade Metro Study]. Zajednica Jugoslovenskih zeleznica, Beograd, 1968.
- KORICA, Rajko: Infrastruktura, saobracaj, urbanizam, arhitektura. [Infrastructure, Transportation, Urbanism, Architecture]. Arhitektonski fakultet Univerziteta, Beograd, 2008.
- LRTA (Light Rail Transit Association): <<http://www.lrt.org/>> (Retrieved 15.01.2011)
- MALETIN, Mihailo: Planiranje i projektovanje saobracajnica u gradovima, 2. izd. [Planning and Designing of Urban Network, 2nd ed.] Orion-art, Beograd, 2009.
- MALETIN, Mihailo: Metro kao osnova urbanistickog razvoja Beograda. [Metro as the Base of Belgrade Urban Development]. Put i saobracaj, Vol. 9, Issue 12, pp. 18-27. Beograd, 1993.
- MUMFORD, Lewis: The City in History - Its Origins, Its Transformations, and Its Prospects. First Edition. Harcourt Brace & World, New York, 1961.
- RODRIGUE, Jean-Paul, COMTOIS, Claude, SLACK, Brian: The Geography of Transport Systems. Second Edition. Routledge, London, 2009.
- UITP (Union International des Transports Public): <www.uitp.org> (Retrieved 15.01.2011)
- VUCHIC, Vukan R.: Urban Transit Systems and Technology. John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, NJ, 2007.
- WMD (World Metro Database, Metro Bits Organization): <mic-ro.com/metro/table.html> (Retrieved 15.01.2011)